





FEEDBACK CONSOLIDATION

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022 - 2023







Date: 05.08.2023

Action taken report for the Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year

Department of Biotechnology

Academic Year: 2012-2013

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions						
Faculty	6	IPR awareness programs may be arranged for applying patent						
Student	105	Prerequisite extra explanations for certain Problematic subjects and Mathematics						
Alumni	18	Practical knowledge of the students must be improved						
Employers	2	Students must be trained properly before attending interview.						

Prepared By

HOD-BT

Principal

Principal

Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Chemical Engineering

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	4.4
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme/ course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.5
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.4
7	The library is well equipped	4.2
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.7
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.5
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.8
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.4
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system/ Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.7

Suggestions for improvement: Nil

A. Wah

Now

HOD-CHEMICAL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 24.2.2023

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23

Criteria/Subject code	CH	CH	CH	CH	C.14	CH.	 Τ	T	 , 	
	8601	865	8602	8652	8150	8004	1	1		1
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	5	5	エ	5	4				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	Ц	5	4	5	' 5 [_]			-	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	ч	5	5	5	5	4				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	کا	4	5	5	5	5				
OVERALL RATING	5	5	5		5	5			 	 -
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4	4	4	5	5	 			
			ERAGE	2/ /C A/DT/	SEA CONT		 	L		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:- Excelle	nt -	Very Good	-	Good	 Fair	<u> </u>	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5		4		3	2		1	

A. Wah

HOD/CHEMICAL

Now



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2022-2023



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Provide placement training

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

A. William

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2022-2023



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Alumni success stories

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

A. William

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603





Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2022-23

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 05.04.2023

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions					
Faculty	7	More exposure to case studies and field visits related to curriculum.					
Students	35	Need practical sessions for learning new technologies used in receitrends.					
Alumni	12	Suggested to provide training related to core area.					
Employers	4	Curriculum should focus on the improvement of employme opportunities.					

P. Yayatin Prepared By HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT DEPT. OF CHILENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING U.LEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of CIVIL

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.4
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.6
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.1
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.5
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.1
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.0
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.6
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.2
13	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.3

Suggestions for improvement: Need individual room to provide department library books

R. Ko thirown



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 19-12-2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23

Criteria/Subject code	(E330)	Œ3302	CE3303	Œ3351	ME3351	MA3357)
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4-48	4.44	4.52	4.4	4.64	4.4
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.6	4.52	4.28	4.64	4.52	4.52
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.56	4.56	4.2	4.6	4.52	4.56
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.56	4.56	4.68	4.44	4.64	4.48
OVERALL RATING	4.55	4.52	4.42	4.52	4.58.	4.49
ASSESS MENT IN PERCENTAGE	91%					89.8%

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 90.26 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	 Good	-	Fair	-	Poor
Rating Point:-			4	3		2		1





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 29.11.2622

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23

Criteria/Subject code	CE 8501	CE8502	CE 8591	EN8491	GE 8091	OAISSI	
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.44	4.68	4.56	4.56	4.4	4.44	
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.52	4.56	4.48	4.64	4.52	4.64	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.68	4.76	4.64	4.56	4.52	4.6	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.64	4.6	4.64	4.348	4.64	4.48	
OVERALL RATING	4.57	4.65	4.58	4.56	4.52	4.54	-
ASSESS MENT IN PERCENTAGE				91-2-1			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX) : 91.4 /

T=	D Hamb	 Very Good	_	Good	-	Fair	·	Poor	
Description:-	Excellent	 Very Good		2		2		1	
Rating Point:-	5	4		3				*	

HOD-CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 21.11.2022.

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23

Criteria/Subject code	(F870)	(E8702	(E8703	(E801)	CMC 751		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.67	4.72	4.72	4.76	4 84		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.52	4.76	4.68	4.56	4.84		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.44	4.48	4.52	4.56	4.48		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.56	4.76	4.56	4.64	4.44		
OVERALL RATING	4.54	4.60	4.62	4.63	4.65		
ASSESS MENT IN PERCENTAGE		92%					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 92.16%

Description:-	Excellent	5	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	 Poor
	1144		4		3		2	1
Rating Point:-	5							

R. Ko thiroun HOD-CIVIL







Analysis of Feedback from Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2022-23

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 05.04.2023

Suggestions given by Alumni
Suggested to provide training related to core area.

P. Gayother Prepared By

HOD/CIVIL
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE
TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

PRINCIPAL Principal Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 506 603





Analysis of Feedback from Employers on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2022-23

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 05.04.2023

Suggestions given by Employers
Curriculum should focus on the improvement of employment opportunities.

Prepared By

HOD/CIVIL
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
ARUNAI ENGINEERING CULLEGE
TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

PRINCIPAL Principal Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION ACTION TAKEN ON FEEDBACK ANALYSIS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

Consolidated Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2022 – 23

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	10	Textbooks for new regulation to be included in the department library
Students	120	Programmes to improve the students technical skills must be increased
Alumni	10	Apart from subject knowledge provide them some additional inputs which were suitable to sustain in industry
Employers	1	Improve the domain knowledge and to put employability skills

Prepared By

Principal

Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

05.05.2023

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the

institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.1
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.1
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.1
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.7
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.25
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.7
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: New Regulation books (R2021) required in the department library, More journals can be made available in the Department Library

PREPARED BY

Arunai Englneering College Tiruvannamalai.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY

Standard: ISO 9001:2015

Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

DNV

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

s.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.4
1	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.4
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perpention of the curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.1
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.0
4	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4,2
7	The library is well equipped	4.4
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.3
	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.4
10	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.6
11	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.8
12	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.5

Arunai Engineering College Stions for improvement:

Arunai Engineering 600 600 for improvement:

* The faculty members suggest that sufficient number of tent/ reference books is required for new negulation syllabus.

Dept. of Electronics and Communication Enga Arunal #ngineering College



Description:- Excellent -

5

Rating Point:-

ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE

QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02 Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 10.04.2023

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23(EVEN) - 1 Year / W Sem

Criteria/Subject code	EC3452	EC3401	EC3451	EC3492	EC3491	GE3451				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	5	5	5				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	3	4	4	5				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	. 5	4	5	5	5 .	4		43	(3)	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	. 5	5	5	4	5				
OVERALL RATING	4.75	4.5	4.5	4.75	4.5	4.75				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	95	90	90	95	90	95	-			e

 Very Good
 Good
 Fair
 Poor

 4
 3
 2
 1

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

HOD ECE

Professor & Head Dept, at Electronics and Communication Enga Arunai Engineering College.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 10.04.2023

- I year / VI sem ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23(EVEN)

Criteria/Subject code	EC8691	EC8095	EC8652	EC8651	MG8591						
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	5	5	4					Ř.	
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	4	5	4	222		0.8	2		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	4	3	. 3	5		1	187			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	. 4	.5	4	5		*2	*			
OVERALL RATING	4.5	4.5	4.25	4.25	4.5						
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	90	90	85	85	90						

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Excellent Very Good Description:-Good Fair Poor Rating Point:-5 3

Principal runal Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

HOD/ECE Dr. B.ELANGO BEMEN Professor & Head

Dest, of Electronics and Communication Engs. Arunai #ngineering College, Tiruvannamalai-606 603.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02 Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 10.04.2023

- Wyear/vill Sem ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23(EVEN) Criteria/Subject code EC8093 EC8094 PLANNING AND 5 4 ORGANISATION PRESENTATION / 4 5 COMMUNICATION STUDENTS 5 5 **PARTICIPATION** CLASS 5 5 MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS OVERALL RATING 4.75 4.75 ASSESMENT IN 95 95 PERCENTAGE AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 % Description:-Excellent -Very Good Good Fair

3

Arunal Engineering College Principal Tiruvannamalal - 606 603

Rating Point:-

HOD/ECE

Poor

Bept. of Electronics and Communication Engg. Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai-606 683



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK(2022-2023)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Encourage the students to attend more internship

Prepared by

HOD/ECE

Professor & Head
Dept. of Electronics and Communication EngaArunal #ngineering College,
Tiruvannamatal-686 603.

Principal
Arunal Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

PRINCIPAL



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI – 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYER FEEDBACK(2022-2023)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Employer
1	Students must be trained properly before attending interview.

Prepared by

HOD/ECE
Dr. S.ELANGO B.E., In.L.,*
Professor & Head
Dept. of Electronics and Communication Ench.
Arunal #ngineering College.
Tiruvannamalal-606 603.

PRINCIPAL

Arunai Engineering Conc. Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.4
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject to	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	I MARKET IN COLUMN
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.2
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.8
5	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.2
7	The library is well equipped	4.3
3	The infrastructure available in the college/ days to	4.7
)	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.2
0	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
1	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained. Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
2	The work distribution is fair and work load in accessible	4.3
3	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department There is a recognition/appraisal system / Inserting / Inserti	4.8
	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.3

Suggestions for improvement:

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.03.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	M 1954A1	EE8401	EE8402	EE8403	BE8451	108451					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	5	4	5		1 20 11 10		W	
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	4	5	5	4		11	. 1	t ave	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	4	5	4	5			*		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	4	5	4	5	5	i i		 (hi		
OVERALL RATING	5	5	4	5	5	5					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	4	5	4	4	4	4			311/2		7

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	(-	Poor	
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		1	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.03.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	EE8601	EE8602	EF8691	EE8002	EB8005				(A)	£	1
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5.	5	4	5	4		lk.				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	5	4	4						=
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	4	5	4	1		- x			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	4	5	4	5					3	
OVERALL RATING	4	5	4	5	5	8.0					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4	5	5	4	= y= x=					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		1	i i

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.03.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	PESUS	EE8017				- "		N Se		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	#7							
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	4	×				N.		G G	7
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5					F D I			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	3	5			9					
OVERALL RATING	4	5								
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4		į.	9					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	(4)	Fair	**	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2022-2023



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Encourage the students to develop the industry interaction

Prepared By

HOD-EEE

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2022-2023



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Session for personality development and communicating skill need to be prioritized

Prepared By

HOD-EEE

Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23 / ODD SEM

B. Tech / AI

Criteria/Subject code	2+1	MA	PH	CY	GE	OTE			-
×	3151	3151	3151	251	3151	3152			
PLANNING AND									
ORGANISATION	4,13	4.15	4.29	4.18	4.54	4.18			
PRESENTATION /						1			
COMMUNICATION	3.98	4-08	4.22	4.14	4.19	4.15			
STUDENTS									
PARTICIPATION	3.86	4.23	4,40	4.15	4.49	4.14			
CLASS MANAGEMENT			4						
/ ASSESSMENT OF								1	
STUDENTS									
	3.99	4.02	4.22	4-09	4.13	4.09		1	
OVERALL RATING	3.98	4.12	4.28			4.12			
ASSESMENT IN			1 -0			7-1-		1	-
PERCENTAGE	79.6%	82.47	85.6%	82.4%	86 8%	82.4%			
				O/ ICATI			20.01		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	CN.A.		
Name	A. Venkateson	Dr. H. Ravichandran	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23 ODD SEM

BIE/CSE

Criteria/Subject code	113	MA	PH	Cy	GE	GE					1000000
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3151	3152				1	
PLANNING AND						3,22					
ORGANISATION	4.08	4.13	4.15	4.40	015	4.15					
PRESENTATION /	1.00	1	4.13	4,40	3.03	9.13			-		
COMMUNICATION	4-15	3.96	4-09	4.33	3.78	4.02					
STUDENTS				7-1-0	2.74						
PARTICIPATION	4.02	4.11	4.14	4.29	3-69	4.23			v		
CLASS MANAGEMENT	-		1.17	4.21	0-0 /	7.23					
/ ASSESSMENT OF											
STUDENTS											
	4.23	4.19	4.18	4.24	3,94	4.15					
OVERALL RATING			-		, ,						
	4.12	4.09	4.12	4-32	3.77	4.12					
ASSESMENT IN											
PERCENTAGE	82.4%	F1. F).	82.4%	86.4%	75.4%	82.47					
	11.						X): 83.33	2 0/.			

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	C MA		
Name	A. Venkatesan	Dr.M. Ravic handran	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23 OPD SEM

B.E. EEE

Criteria/Subject code	HS 3151	MA 3151	PH 3151	3152	4E 3151	GE 3152			
PLANNING AND		3131		2152	212	3152			
ORGANISATION	4.15	4.62	4.08	11.26	3,25	4.33			
PRESENTATION /			1700	9.20	2113	4.35			
COMMUNICATION	4.18	11.79	3.86	4.40	3.86	4.26			
STUDENTS	1	9-7-7-	3.60	7.90	2.66	4.20			
PARTICIPATION	4.09	4.65	3.95	4.36	3.79	4.24			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS					.,,_,				
	4-14	3.79	3.92	4.24	3.66	4.40			
OVERALL RATING	4.12	3.77	3.95		verse r	4.31			region .
ASSESMENT IN			J-13_	7.57	0.1/	7.0			
PERCENTAGE	82-47.	75.4%	79%	86.1%	75.4%	86.2%			
						ON INDEX) : 83	.33 %		

Description:- Excel	lent -	Very Good	= =	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5		4		3		2		1	

son North Parish	
	0
	eted by HOD



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23/DPD SEM

B,E/ECE

Criteria/Subject code	1+5	MA	PH	CY	GE	GE					T	
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3151	3152						
PLANNING AND						3122					1	
ORGANISATION				100000 100000								
	4.22	4-02	4.79	3.93	3.99	4.2			1	1	1	
PRESENTATION /						7-6						
COMMUNICATION												
	4.29	4.23	4-62	4-09	3.92	4.29						
STUDENTS												
PARTICIPATION												
	4.22	4-08	4.65	3.97	3.85	4.22		V	4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT						•						
/ ASSESSMENT OF												
STUDENTS											1	
	4.40	4.15	4.25	3.86	3.99	-5.0						
OVERALL RATING	l car				0.7.	, ,					-	
	4.18	4.12	4.7	3.96	3.89	4.28						
ASSESMENT IN				<u> </u>	0.0,	1 - 0					-	
PERCENTAGE		_		WAS		000						
	85.67.	82.4%	947.	79.2%	77.8%	58.2%						
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	X): 83.	33 %	-	.1		
							,	00 ,0				

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	A.V.S	1	
Name	A. Venkatesan	Dr. M. Pain L. Pa	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23/OPP SEM

B. Tech IT.

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	CY	GE	GE					
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3157	3152					
PLANNING AND											
ORGANISATION	4.11	3.89	4.4	4.2	11.66	4.8					
PRESENTATION /					4.00						
COMMUNICATION	4-09	4-02	4-6	4.6	4.65	4.6					
STUDENTS	1		1 0		9.05	4,0			-		
PARTICIPATION	3.97	3.98	4.8	4.4	4.75	4.4					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF											
STUDENTS	4.12	4.09	4.6	4.35	4.7	4.6					
OVERALL RATING	4.09	3.92	4.6	4.35	4.79	4.6					
ASSESMENT IN	, ,										SVI P
PERCENTAGE	81-4%	79.6%	92%	877.	94.).	927				94	
				% (SATI		ON INDEX	: 83.33.%	,			

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	 Good	=	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3		2		1	

CN.A		
A. Venk steran	Mr. M. Pavic handing	*
Feedback Collected by	HOD	
		A. Venk Areson Dr. M. Ravichandran Feedback Collected by HOD



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23 /EVEN SEM

B. Tech / IT

Criteria/Subject code	145	MA	PH	13E	(mt	C- 1					
	3251	3251		3251	61E 3251	CE 3251					
PLANNING AND					3231	30,1					
ORGANISATION	4.6	2 19	2 0								
PRESENTATION /	4.6	3.69	3.85	4.29	4.15	4.22		-			
COMMUNICATION	4.4	3.18	3.96	4.23	4.08	5.0					
STUDENTS	i i		0.10	1,23	4,00	3.0					
PARTICIPATION	4.8	3.94	3.82	4.12	4.12	4-29			1		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS			07.6	7.72		4.21					
STODENTS	4.2	3.65	3.84	4.16	4-23	4.1					
OVERALL RATING	4.6	3.72	3.86	4.35	4-02						
ASSESMENT IN		· /	-0.00	715-		4.28				1	
PERCENTAGE	92%	75,4%	77.24	84.6%	82.41	88,27					
			ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	X): 83.	33.%			

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	11/2		
Name	1	1	
	A. Venlastesan	Dr.M. Ravichandran	1.1.3
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23 /EVEN SEM

B.E. CYBER SECURITY

Criteria/Subject code	3251	MA 3251	PH 3256	BE 3251	GE 3251	51€ 3252.					
PLANNING AND					3231	3232.					
ORGANISATION	4.44	4.35	4.8	4.32	7.86	4.22					
PRESENTATION /				4.32	3100	9.22					
COMMUNICATION	4.35	4.39	4.6	4.29	3.95	5.6					
STUDENTS				-4	5.13	2.0					-
PARTICIPATION	4.60	4.29	y. 6	4.40	3.99	4.29					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS											
	4-44	4.40	4.8	9.24	3.98	4.2					
OVERALL RATING	4.39	4.35	4.6	61 Ves							
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	88.8%		92%	86.4%	5.10					- 2	
	100.071						X): 83.3	22 0/			
		AV	ERAGE	70 (SA11	SPACI	ON INDE	A): 83.3	33.%			

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	A.11		
Name	A.Ven/catesan	Dr. M. Ravichandran	×
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022 - 23 /EVEN SEM

B. Tech / AI

Criteria/Subject code	143	MA	PH	BE	AD	GE						
	3251	3251	3256	3251	325							
PLANNING AND						3221						
ORGANISATION			darre.	N ASSOCIATION OF THE PROPERTY								
	4.02	4.13	4.22	4.42	3.95	4.14						
PRESENTATION /	3.0											
COMMUNICATION					1					1		
	4.15	4.17	3.99	4.60	4.13	4.09						
STUDENTS						7.01						
PARTICIPATION		8										
	4.12	4.04	4.08	4-35	3.99	4.15			1			
CLASS MANAGEMENT												
/ ASSESSMENT OF						1		-	1			
STUDENTS												
	4.08	4.11	4.14	4.39	3.26	4.18						
OVERALL RATING	-			1-5-1	3.00	1 . 0					-	-
	4.23	3.96	4.04	4.44	3.98	4.12						
ASSESMENT IN												
PERCENTAGE												
	82.4%	81.8%	81.6%	86.8%	79.6%	82.4%						
A 300000				% (SATI			EX): 83	33.%	-1			

	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	(#)	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	A.W.D	3	
Name	A-Vencatesan	Dr. M. Ravichandran	- 'a
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23/EVEN SEM.

B.E/EEE

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	BE	GE	EE					
	3251	325	3202	3255	3251	3251					
PLANNING AND											
ORGANISATION	3,86	4-02	4.09	4.02	3.85	3.93					
PRESENTATION /					5.07	0 13					
COMMUNICATION	3.99	4.13	4.15	4.13	3.82	3.86					
STUDENTS			-1 0	4-12	0.01	3/10					
PARTICIPATION	3.95	4.15	4.18	4.15	3.96	3.96			1		
CLASS MANAGEMENT				-9.17	3.7-	3.70					
/ ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS											
	4.13	4.08	4.14	4.08	3.84	4.09					
OVERALL RATING	3.98	4.12	4.12	4.12		3,92					
ASSESMENT IN			7	-4.1	,,,,,,	0///				-	
PERCENTAGE	79.6%	82.4%	82.4%	82.4).	77.2%	79.27.					
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	X): 83.3	33.%			

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	C N.A		
Name	A-Vanla atesan	Du M Parisha da	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	Ne il



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23/EVEN SEN

Criteria/Subject code	HS 3251	MA 3251	PH 3254	BE 3254	51E 3251	EC 3251.						
PLANNING AND		2231	3-29	5254	3251	3431.				-		
ORGANISATION	3.79	4.21	3.89	4.49	3.76	3.97						
PRESENTATION /				7 ()	3.7	3.11						
COMMUNICATION	3.66	4.09	4.05	4.54	3.82	3.86						
STUDENTS		'-'	7.00		3.0-	3.00				1	_	
PARTICIPATION	3.75	4.12	3.92	4-19	3.85	3.95						
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS		4.11	3.85	4.13	3.84	4.13						
OVERALL RATING	3.22	4.13	3.93	4.34	3.86	3.98						_
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	75.47.			86-81.								
		AV	ERAGE	% (SAT)	SFACTI	ON INDI	EX) : 83.	33.%	1			

Description:- Excellent	 Very Good 	-	Good	-	Fair	-40	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5	4		3		2		1	

IAN S		
All le terr		
Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	*
	01-0	A. Venlateran Dr. M. Rayichandron



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR:

2022 - 23 / Even Sem

B. E/EEE

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	BE	96	EE					
	3251	3251	3202	3255	3251	3251			ŀ		
PLANNING AND		18									
ORGANISATION	4.16	4.13	4.15	3.65	4.10	4.38					
PRESENTATION /				J. 03		9.30					
COMMUNICATION	4.35	4.17	4-02	3.78	4.26	4.29					
STUDENTS				5-7-6-	7 - 2	7-2			1		
PARTICIPATION	4.29	3.96	4.23	3.69	4-38	4.24					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS											
	4.23	4-11	4.15	3.94	4.15	4.40					
OVERALL RATING	4.12	4.09	4-12	3.77	4.22	4-32					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE				75.4%		- W. 102-022					
		AV	ERAGE	% (SAT)	SFACTI	ON INDE	(X): 83.	33.%			

111 Didicity (Sillier No Holder) : 65.55.76

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	=	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	_3
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date			
Name	A.Venlaatesan	Oce M. Parisha Prop	(9)
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Humanities and Sciences

Academic Year: 2022-2023

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
i .	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.1
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.5
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	3.9
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.6
7	The library is well equipped	4.7
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.25
Li	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4,
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.4
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Suggestions for improvement:

ZHOD-HAS



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-2023-II (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA3354	CS3352	CD3291	CS3391	CS3353
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.4	4.0
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
OVERALL RATING	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	89%	86%	85%	86%	89%
				and the same and the same of t	

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

	Essellont	- Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Description:-	Excellent	· very dood		2		2		1	
Rating Point:	5	4		3		- 4			

HOD/IT

Dr. ANITHA RAMESH, Ph.D., HOD / I.T., Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603.



FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01



Department of Information Technology **Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis**

Academic Year :2022-2023

institution, governance Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the

0.10	Details
	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.
٠,	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching
5	Good facility and encouragement are available
7	The library is well equipped
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used
9 (Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)
10 F	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.
1 /	Authorities are approachable and accessible
2 7	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department
T .	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.

Suggestions for improvement: NIL -



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

DNV-GL ISO 9001,2008

Standard: ISO 9001:2015

ACADEMIC YEAR-2022-2023

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Alumni	
1	Encourage students to Industry Interactions	

Prepared By

TI-COH

PRINCIPAL

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



ACADEMIC YEAR-2022-2023 CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Employers
1	Session for personality development and Communication skill need to prioritized
2	Students Use technology for growth of organization and workplace equipment
3	Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE THIRUVANNAMALAI

Consolidated Students feedback on Teachers-

Mid Semester Standard: ISO 9001:2015 Academic Year: 2022-23

Date: 10.03.2023

Doc Ref: AEC/ISO/CFBM/2021-22/EVEN

Poor



	Subject code	7	ucuing				Semester: N	
S. No	Subject Name	ME3491	ME 3451	ME3192	FE 3191	ME3493	GE3451	
	Staff Name	Mr. R. Rajest	Ma P Asul	Mr. S. Round	matchials	Technology	EVS	
1	Attitude towards subject	Rumas	in		Seliakuun.	Fakambasan	GE3457 EVS Dr. P. Stritte Kunga	
2	Communication /Explaining Concepts	710	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.6	
3	Use of examples to clarify	4.6	4.5	4.6	F.A_	4.6	4.4	
4	Problem solving	4.5	4.6	4.74	4.6	4,4	4,7	
5	Classroom discussions	4.6	4.5	4-6	4.5	4.6	4.7	
6	Use of Black board	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	F•4	4.1	
7	Use of teaching aids	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	
8	Attitude towards Students (Strict/Friendly)	3=7 F=1	8=4 F=4	4.5 8 = 4 F = 4	8=& F=6	8=3 F=5	4.3 S=0 F=8	
9	No. of Units covered	2	2	2	2	7	7-0	

Note: For S. No 1-7, Average rating point given by the respondents

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair

Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2

For S.No 8 Write numbers for S and F (Ex. If 4 students mentioned as strict and 6 students as Friendly,

write S-4 and F-6). For S. No 9 write number of units covered

Write the highlights of general feedback on the backside of this sheet

Signature with date	Confites	Somfred	Unau 203/23
Name	N. MANIKANDAN		R. Praveen Kumar



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Mechanical Engineering

Academic Year :2022-2023

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score
		(Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.0
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.2
9	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.5
7	The library is well equipped	4.8
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.2
6	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.3
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.8
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Suggestions for improvement:



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

ALUMINI SURVEY -CONSOLIDATED BATCII-18-22

Remarks		1 11 2 2 1					i		LEVEL 3 ATTAINED					n sy		LEVEL 3 ATTAINED
Rating	2.65	2	2.70	2.66	2.76	2.69	2.76	2.82	2.67	2.75	2.68	2.78	5.69	2.61	2.69	2.70
Poor (1)		1							4							0
Satisfactory (2)	,	4	9		2	4	2	1		1	4	0	2	2	τ,	43
Good (3)		OI I	12	19	2	9	2	2	.	1	2	4	4	9	9	209
VERY GOOD (4)	37.0	10	14	20	S	12	7	9	12	2	10	10	10	10	10	316
EXCELLENT V GOO		20	42	69	65	52	63	65	. 09	70	55	09	28	95	22	1167
	Description	Infrastructure	Course Delivery	Students Personality Development	Commitment of Faculty	Library Facility	Counseling Activity	Discipline Standards	How about Ad-on Courses	Student-Staff Relationship	Hostel Facilities	Transport Facilities	Recreational Facilities	Extra-Curricular Activities	Interaction with Administration	AVERAGE
TOTAL		<u>= 1</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1 5		74			4				
SL.No ACADAMIC TO									2018-2022	1 H						
SL.No									н							

Bambar







FEEDBACK CONSOLIDATION

ACADEMIC YEAR 2021 – 2022



Action taken report for the Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2021-2022

Department of Biotechnology

Date:4/4/2022

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	6	Enhance the practical exposure of faculty members by undergoing workshop/ FDP
Student	87	Bridge the gap between students training and industrial requirements.
Alumni	24	Interaction with alumni is required to stay current trends ad method of recruitment
Employers	3	Students should gain adequate knowledge in recent techniques used in industries

Prepared By

HOD-BT

Princip:

Principal
Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Chemical Engineering

Academic Year: 2021-2022

 $A cademic\ performance\ measured\ through\ teaching-learning\ process,\ curriculum\ plan\ and\ implementation\ ,\ ambience\ of\ the\ institution,\ governance$

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.7
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	4.4
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme/ course outcomes.	4.5
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.7
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.5
7	The library is well equipped	4.4
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.4
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.5
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.5
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.8
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system/ Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.5

Suggestions for improvement: Nil





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 16.2.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 - 2022

Criteria/Subject code	8501	CH 8591	0R0	CH	GH	CH				
PLANNING AND	0501	0,5-11	55/	8502	8551	852		+		-
ORGANISATION	4	5	H	Ц	5	4				
PRESENTATION /										
COMMUNICATION	4	4	15	Н	Lf	5				
STUDENTS	1									
PARTICIPATION	4	5	Н	4	5	5				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	5	5	4	5	5				
OVERALL RATING	5	5	4	5	Ч	5				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	5	ч	5	5	5				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	2	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	2	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

a. Vadrum

HOD/CHEMICAL

Now



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2021-2022



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Offering career workshops for skill development

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

A. Whi

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2021-2022



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Industry-specific events

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603





Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2021-22

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 03.06.2022

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	6	Suggested to provide training related to software used in Civil Engineering field.
Students	27	Students are suggested to provide extra hours for reading journals and books in library.
Alumni	18	Arrange field visit for students to get practical knowledge.
Employers	2	Suggested on addition of new courses on the skill development.

Prepared By

HEAD OF HOP GAY MENT DEPT. OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING ULLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

PRINCIPAL
Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of CIVIL

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.2
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.1
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.6
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.6
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.4
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.2
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.3
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.5
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.0
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Suggestions for improvement: Fix the broken doors in the girls restroom

R. Kothsnaum HOD-CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 26/11/21

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	CE830	CE8302	CERSSI	CE8391	CFR392	MARATA				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.15	4.39	4.17	4.05	4.09	4.27				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.27	4.25	4.09	4.14	ų · 11	4.19				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.83	4.27	4.27	4.12		4.33			1	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.06		4.12		4.22	4.09				
OVERALL RATING	4.20	4.32	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.22	10			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	841	86.4%	83.24.	81.6%	82.4%	84.47.				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX):

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	•	Poor	
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		1	

R. Kothinson HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 26/11/21

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 - 22

Criteria/Subject code	CE 8501	LESS CA	EN8491	CE8591	GE8091	CAILSS!			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.17	4.21	4.33	4.47	4.39	4.12			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.12	4.02	4.15	4.38	4.47	3.99			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.32	4.12	4.42	4.51	4.65	4.06			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.21	4.32	4.39	4.28	4.56	3.92			
OVERALL RATING	4.21	4.18	4.32	4.41	4.52	4.02		-	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	84.27.	83.67.		88.21.		So.4 y.			

									-	
			V Cood	1	Good	5,000	Fair	-	Poor	
Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good		Good		2		1	
			4		3					
Rating Point:-	5		37.							

R. Kothiroun HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 26/11/21

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	CE8-701	CE 8-102	CERADA	CE SOU	OML 751			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.02	4.19	4.39	4.11	3.92			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.15	4.39	4.27	3.86	3.75			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.21	4.22	4.42	3.94	3.92			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.10	4.36	4.36	3.99	3.84			
OVERALL RATING	4.12	4.29	4.36	3.98	3.87	ja		
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	82.41	85.81	87.2%		77.47.			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 82.487

Description:-	Excellent	4	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	(#A)	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

R. Kothisum HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 11/5/22

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-2022

Criteria/Subject code	LE8401	CE 8402	CE8403	CE 8404	CE8491	MA8491			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.35	A. 11	3.87	4.72	4.32	4.42			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4-18	4.20	3.95	4.59	4.06	4.55			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.46	4.06	3.97	4.69	4.12	4.34			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.29	4.00	4.12	4.55	4.25	4.52			
OVERALL RATING	4.32	4.12	3.98	4.64	4.19	4.46		•	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE		82.41	79.6%	92.8%	83.8%	89.27.			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 85.7-1.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	14	Good	Ψ.	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		1	

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02 Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 1115/22

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	CE8bol	CF 8602	CE 8603	CE Stote	EN8592	(F.800)			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	425	4.25	4.39	4.55	4.19	4.59			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.19	439	435	4.68	4.32	4.42			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3.98	427	442	4.62	4.25	4.49			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.21	4 85	4.51	4.49	4-39	4.58			
OVERALL RATING	4.16	4.32	4.42	4.59	4.29	4.52			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	83.27.	86.47.	88.4%	91-81.	85.8%	90.4%			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX):

Descriptions	Evcellent	 Very Good	-	Good	7.2	Fair	-	Poor	
Description:-		 4		3		2		1	
Rating Point:-	3	 W.C.							

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 11/5/22

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	CE8016	A 8022	7					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4 29	A. 65						
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	435	4.42						
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	449	4.48						
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	423	4.52						
OVERALL RATING	4.34	4.52			1			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	86.87.							

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 88.67

Description:-	Excellent	2	Very Good	1=1	Good	-	Fair	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2	1	

R. Kothissun HOD/CIVIL







Analysis of Feedback from Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2021-22

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 03.06.2022

S.No.	Suggestions given by Alumni
1.	Arrange field visit for students to get practical knowledge.
1.	Arrange field visit for students to get practical knowledge.

Prepared By

AEAD OF THO DECENTMENT DEPT. OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING UJLEGE TIRUVANNAMALA! - 606 603

PRINCIPAL Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603







Analysis of Feedback from Employers on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2021-22

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 03.06.2022

S.No.	Suggestions given by Employers
1.	Suggested to provide an addition of new courses related to the skill development.

P. May I'm Prepared By R. Koffin

PRINCIPAL Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION ACTION TAKEN ON FEEDBACK ANALYSIS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

Consolidated Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2021 – 22

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	10	FDP is required to develop teaching practices
Students	120	Arrange additional course to enable to learn current technologies
Alumni	10	More training on group discussion and aptitude may be arranged
Employers	1	Enhance awareness about new technologies

Prepared By

Jus

HOD-CSE

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2021-2022

09.05.2022

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation , ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.2
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.2
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	3.9
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	3.9
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.2
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.0
7	The library is well equipped	4.3
3	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
)	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.3
0	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	5.0
1	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.0
2	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	
3	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0
	Consection C. I. D. I. D. I.	4.3

Suggestions for improvement: Faculty Development Programme and additional guest lecture sessions can be conducted for new subjects.

Prepared By

PRINCIPAL Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai.

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015

Fermat: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Academic Year :2021-2022

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	(Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.1
7	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.4
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.6
1	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.2
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.3
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.0
7	The library is well equipped	4.1
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.2
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.8
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	3.9
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	3.9
3	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Suggestions for improvement:

* To enhance the practical empositioning college faculty members by writing Englishmental 606 603 industrial toaining / wormshops / FDP's

Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engg. Arunal #ngineering College,

Tiruvannamalai-606 603.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 21.11.2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22(ODD)

IT year / In sem

Criteria/Subject code	EC8351	EC8352	EC8392	EC8393	MA8352	EC8391					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	5	3	3	4		i i			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	3	.4	5	5	3					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	. 5	3	5 .	4	5		e	€		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	. 3	4	5	5	5	. 5	G			1 1	2
OVERALL RATING	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.5	4.25	4.25					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	85	85	85	90	85	85					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		- 4		3		2.		1	

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Dr. S.ELANGO SE.M.

Pept. of Electronics and Communication Eng Arunal #ngineering College, Tiruvannamalai-606 603,



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 21.11.2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22(ODD)

Il year / I sem

Criteria/Subject code	EC8501	EC8553	EC8551	OMD551	GE8077	EC8552					T
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	5	4	5	4					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	5		4	5		7.			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	4 .	5	. 5	4	4	9				27
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	5	4	5	. 5	5			# E	2	
OVERALL RATING	4.5	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.5	4.5					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	90	95	95	95	90	90					

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	 Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3		. 2		1	

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603 Professor & Heatu
Dept. of Electronics and Communication Eugs.
Arunal #ngineering College,

Tiruvannamalai-686 603.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 21.11.2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22(ODD)

IN year / VII sem

Criteria/Subject code	EC8701	EC8751	EC8791	EC8702	EC8071	OIC751				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	5	5	5	5				ō
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	5	4	4	4		1770	ä	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	5.	4	5	5	•			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	5	5	5	4	5				
OVERALL RATING	4.75	4.75	5	4.5	4.5	4.75				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	95	95	100	90	90	95				

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	0.5	Good	17	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5	17	4		3	~	2		1	
The latest the second second		_			A				1	

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engg. Arunai #ngineering College.

Tiruvannamalai-606 603.



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI – 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK(2021-2022)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Special training programs to be organized on current trends.

Prepared by

HOD/ECE

Dr. S.ELANGO B.E.M.E.,Ph.

Professor & Head
Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engg.

Arunal #ngineering Cellege, Tiruvannamatal-606 603. PRINCIPAL Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYER FEEDBACK(2021-2022)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Employer
1	Suggested to enhance the ICT based teaching pedagogy.

Prepared by

HOD/ECE

Dr. S.ELANGO B.E.M.L.

Professor & Head

Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engg.

Arunai #ngineering College, Tiruvannamalai-606 603. PRINCIPAL

111

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details					
	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.8				
1	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.6				
2	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.8				
3	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.9				
4	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.5				
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools states.	4.8				
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3				
7	The library is well equipped	4.2				
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.3				
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	5.0				
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	-				
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3				
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.2				
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.6				

Suggestions for improvement: Wi-Fi facilities needed

 \mathcal{M}

HOD/EEE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.03.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	M 19541	EE8401	EE8402	EE8403	BE8451	108451						
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	5	4	5		1 10 10			W	
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	4	5	5	4		11		. 1	t ave	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	4	5	4	5				*		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	4	5	4	5	5	i i		3 (-	(hi		
OVERALL RATING	5	5	4	5	5	5						
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	4	5	4	4	4	4				34 (4.5)		7

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		11	

HOD/EEE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.03.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	EE8601	EE8602	EF8691	EE8002	EB8005				É	4		1
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5.	5	4	5	4				2.7	1		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	5	4	4							=
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	4	5	4	10	i a	- x				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	4	5	4	5							
OVERALL RATING	4	\$	4	5	5	3.7						
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4	5	5	4	7-2-					Tag	

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		1	i i

M



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.03.2022

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	PE8 015	EE8017				- 1		ii A Se		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	#)							
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	4	×						4	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5		T.			v 0 1			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	3	5			8					
OVERALL RATING	4	5							1	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4		į.	1					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	_	Good	=	Fair	•	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 22.11.2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

			20100						t	W 7)	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	5	4	5	r	8	***				177
OVERALL RATING	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4			188
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	2	4	٤	8	5					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	4	5	5	K	8					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	ч	5	5	5			- 1		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	4	5	5	5					
Criteria/Subject code	MARSO	EE8351	EE 8391	EE8301	EC8353	ME8192					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:- Excelle	ent -	Very Good	-	Good	Fair		Door
Rating Point:- 5		4		3	2	1	Poor

M



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 22.11.2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	EE8501	EE 8551	FE8552	EE8591	CS8392	617552			ut.			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	¢	2	4	4	5	-	15 a				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	s	5	ક	5		V (4		-	er i ,	M
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	4	Ą	5	5	5						
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	κ	4	5	8	5						
OVERALL RATING	4	ų	5	ζ	5	4			10	-		3/1- 1
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	5	4	2	4	5						

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	7.5	Very Good	Good		Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3	10 W	2		1	

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 22.11.2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22

Criteria/Subject code	EE8101	EE8402	EE8703	OBTISI	C1E8071	EC8095		1		17
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	ς	4	5	5	4		ģ		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	4	5	4	5	5				23
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	4	8	4	5				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	4	5	٤	٤	4				
OVERALL RATING	8	5	5	5	4	5	***			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4	5	5	4	5				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-3	Very Good	-	Good	-/-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

M



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2021-2022



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Placement training and practices should be provide

Prepared By

HOD-EEE

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 - 22 / ODD SEM

Bio-Tech

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	ME	CY	GE		- 1			
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3157	3171					
PLANNING AND						3111					_
ORGANISATION											
	4.28	4.15	4.13	3.95	4-13	4-08				1	
PRESENTATION /			1		1						
COMMUNICATION							1	1			
	4.2	4.18	4.12	4.13	4-19	4.15	- 1		1	1	
STUDENTS					1			-			
PARTICIPATION			1								
	4.40	4.12	4-35	3.99	4-54	4.02	1	90			
CLASS MANAGEMENT						9.02		_			
/ ASSESSMENT OF							1				
STUDENTS						1	1				
	4.19	4.09	4.3	3.86	4.49	4.13			1		
OVERALL RATING	i '				1 . 7	73					
	4.18	4.14	4.19	3.98	4.34	4.12					
ASSESMENT IN			30.0								
PERCENTAGE	85.6%	82.4%	867.	79.6%	868%.	82.4%					
					The second of the						
		AV	ERAGE	% (SAT	ISFACTI	ON INDEX): 83.33 %				_

Description:- Exc	cellent -	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5	4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	A.W.D		
Name	A.Venlantesen	Or M Pavick - Da	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	6.4



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22 / ODD SEM

B.E Civil

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	GE	CY	GE						
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3151	3171						-
PLANNING AND						3111			-		_	+
ORGANISATION									1			
	4.42	4.35	4.04	4.6	4.6	4.8		ľ				
PRESENTATION /				4-2	4.6	4.8			_	_		
COMMUNICATION												
	4.35	4.45	4.15	5.0	4.2	4.6						
STUDENTS		•	<u> </u>		1	7.6					+	
PARTICIPATION												
	4.39	4-42	4.09	4.4	4.8	4.4						
CLASS MANAGEMENT				7-/	1-0-	7 7						-
/ ASSESSMENT OF												
STUDENTS												1
	4.60	4.29	4.23	4.2	, ,	7. 6						
OVERALL RATING	7.0-	7 /	4.25	7.2	4.6	4.8						
	4.44	4.38	4.13	4.55	4.17	4.65			1			
ASSESMENT IN		1 3.00			4.11	4.6)					1	
PERCENTAGE	86.8%	87.61.	82.6%	917.	91%	93%						
- ZAODNIAGD	70				1. 1.	10 71						
		AV	ERAGE	% ISATI	SEACTION	ON INDE	EX) : 83.	22.0/				
		21.4	Lidial	/ (DAII	OI ACTI	OH INDE	A): 83.	33 %				

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-8	Good	=	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	4.1		
Name	A. Vanla atesan	Dr. M. Parischae Co.	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	•
			= 160 100



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22 / ODD SEM

B.E/EEE

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	GE	СУ	GE				1	1	
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3151	3171						
PLANNING AND				7(2)		3171						-
ORGANISATION	4-39	4-02	3.84	4.26	4.37	4.13						
PRESENTATION /			3.5/	1-0	7.5	4.13				+		-
COMMUNICATION	4.35	4.32	3.85	4.10	4.38							
STUDENTS	1 4	4.52	0.03	4.70	1.38	4.17				-		
PARTICIPATION	4.40	4.08	3.82	4.15	4.35	2 91						
CLASS MANAGEMENT		1.00	3.02	7-17	7.33	3.76			5			
/ ASSESSMENT OF												
STUDENTS	4.29	4.15	\$.96	4.38	4.31	4.11						
OVERALL RATING	4-35	4.12	3.86		4.35							
ASSESMENT IN				760 1								
PERCENTAGE	87%	82-4	77.2 %	84.4%	87%	81.8%						
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDEX	K): 83.3	33 %				

Description:- Ex	cellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	A.V.S	Ma	
Name	A. Venboutosan	Dr. M.Pario L. O	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 - 22 / ODD SEM

B.E/ECE

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	ÞН	GE	Cy	CIE				
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3157	3171		1		
PLANNING AND		-			3171	31 +1				 1
ORGANISATION	4.22	4.18	4.13	3.95	4.19					1
PRESENTATION /	,	7.11	4.17	3.73	4.17	4-15			-	1
COMMUNICATION	4.19	4.11	4.11	3.66						
STUDENTS	1	1/1-	4.22	3.75	4-54	4.02				
PARTICIPATION	4.40	4.14	4.19	3.99	4-49	4.13				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	1		7.1/	3.7)	9.1/	4.23				
	9.22	4.09	4.35	4.13	4.13	4-15				
OVERALL RATING	4.28		4.3	3.98		4.12				
ASSESMENT IN					, ,					-
PERCENTAGE	85.6	82-47.	£67.	71.6%	86.8%	82.4%				
		AV	ERAGE	% (SAT)	SFACTI	ON INDEX	K): 83.33	%		

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	A.N.S.		
Name	A. Venkatesan	Dry Paid	<u></u>
	Feedback Collected by	DV.M.Ravichandran HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 - 22 / ODD SEM

B. E/Mechanical.

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	GE	CY	GE			_	T		
	3151	3151	3151	3151	3151	3171		1				
PLANNING AND			.			31.7						
ORGANISATION									M.		1 1	
	4.12	4.14	4.2	3.95	4-19	4.15			1		1	
PRESENTATION /				<u> </u>	1				1			
COMMUNICATION												
	4.29	4.15	4.13	3.86	4.49	4.02						
STUDENTS			7	-		9.02				+		
PARTICIPATION	1											
	4.22	4.09	4.19	3.99	4.54	4.23			4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT				3/1/	7-1_/	9.25					-	
/ ASSESSMENT OF											1 1	
STUDENTS												
STUDENTS					299						1 1	
	4.40	4.11	4.35	4.13	4.34	4.08						
OVERALL RATING	4.0		/ -	- 90-								
	4.28	9.12	4.3	3.98	4.13	4.12						
ASSESMENT IN	2-14		1	1	as cy							
PERCENTAGE	03.61.	82.4%	861.	79.6%	86.81.	f2.4%						
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	EX): 83.	33 %				
				-00								

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	 Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2	1	

Signature with date	CN.A	7	
Name	A. Venkateson	Dr. H. Ravic handley	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021 - 22/EVEN SEM

B.E/CSE

Criteria/Subject code	2-11	MA	PH	BE	GE	CS	T			
	3251	3251	3256	3251	3251	3251				
PLANNING AND							1+1			
ORGANISATION	4-17	4.38	3.85	4.23	4.35	3.96				
PRESENTATION /					1 0	0.70				
COMMUNICATION	4.13	4.35	384	4.01	4.40	4.13				
STUDENTS										
PARTICIPATION	3.96	4.31	3.96	4.15	4.19	1. 11				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS						9.17				
	4-11	4.37	3.82	4.02	4.39	4.12				
OVERALL RATING	4-09	4.25	3.86	(, 1)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	81.87.			82-4		.				
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTION	ON INDE	X) : 83.33	3 %		

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	A.V.A	and and	
Name	A. Venlaatesan	D= 01 P= : c1 = 0	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22 /EVEN SEM

B. Tech I.T

Criteria/Subject code	HS.	MA	PH	BE	GE	CS			1		
- 0 - 7	325	3251	3256	3251		3251				1	
PLANNING AND					7-31	3-21			 	-	
ORGANISATION	3.65	4.02	3.92	3, 11	3-89	3.65					
PRESENTATION /			-	3.17	3-8/	3 03			1		
COMMUNICATION	3.69	3.89	4.05	2	3.74	3.28					
STUDENTS	0.07	5.07	4.0)	3-12	3.72	3.78			-		
PARTICIPATION	3.28	4.09	3.59	3.85	4-02	7.69					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF		1-7		Jary	7-02	37					
STUDENTS							I			1	
DIODEN 16	3.94	3.92	3.93	3. 19	3.39	3.94					
OVERALL RATING	3.27	3.98	3.5		4-04						
ASSESMENT IN		•									
PERCENTAGE	75.4%	79.61.	78.6%	77-8%	79.67.	75.4%					
							X): 83.3	33 %			

		Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1	Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

AND	1	
A. Venkestesen	No M. Pariala II	
Feedback Collected by	HOD	***
		A. Ventesen Dr. M. Ravichandran Feedback Collected by HOD



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22/ EVEN SEM

B. Tech / chemical

Criteria/Subject code	HS 3251	MA 3251	PH 3258	BE 3252	01E 3251	CH 3251			
PLANNING AND				_5/_/_	5251	3-3/			
ORGANISATION	4.39	4.35	3.96	3.85	4.05	4.10			-
PRESENTATION /					9,00	_/_/_			
COMMUNICATION	4-40	4.38	4.13	3.82	4-23	4.26			
STUDENTS		,			-(7.20			
PARTICIPATION	4.29	4.35	4-11	3.96	4.15	4.38		x	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF						7.50			
STUDENTS									
	4.35	4.31	4.17	3.54	4.06	4.18			
OVERALL RATING	4.39	4.39	4.09						
ASSESMENT IN	- /-	()	. /						
PERCENTAGE	87%	85%	81.6%	77.2%	82.4%	84.47			
		ΑV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTIO	ON INDEX): 83	3.33 %		

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	58	Good	_	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	A.W	2	
Name	A-Vento stesan	Dr.M. Ravichandron	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-22/EVEN SEM

B. Tech / Bio - Tech.

Criteria/Subject code	145	MA	PH	BE	UTE	BT			1	
	3251	3251	3252	3252	3251	3201				
PLANNING AND					•					
ORGANISATION			54		1		li di		1	
	3.86	4.29	4.12	4.09	3.20	4.38		1		
PRESENTATION /						7.3				
COMMUNICATION			e;							
	3.93	4.16	4-11	3.11	3.11	4-29	li li			
STUDENTS		, , ,	7	<u> </u>						-
PARTICIPATION			As a local							1
	3.92	4.35	4-13	4-12	3-82	4 24				
CLASS MANAGEMENT		(32			3-12	4.24			-	
/ ASSESSMENT OF										
								i		1
STUDENTS										
	4.09	4.12	9-09	4.11	3.69	4.40				
OVERALL RATING		200								
	3.86	4.23	4.21	4-09	3.76	4.3 4				
ASSESMENT IN			50			1				
PERCENTAGE	79.2%	84.6%	82.6%	81-41,	74.6%	86 47	1			
	134 (1950) 38	U 1	7 ≈ 1000	1550 N R 50.	W 1 1035 510	35 171				
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDEX):	83.33 %			

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	12-11-A	W.	
Name	A. Veulcatesan	Daniel Ca	
	Feedback Collected by	Wr.M.RaviChardran HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Humanities and Sciences

Academic Year: 2021-2022

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	3.8
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.0
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.2
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	3.8
7	The library is well equipped	4.2
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.3
)	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.1
0	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	5.0
I	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.0
2	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.2
3	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.3

Suggestions for improvement:

HOD-HAS



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2021-2022-II (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA8351	CS8251	CS8391	CS8392	EC8394
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.2	5.0	4.2	5.0	5.0
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
OVERALL RATING	4.3	5.0	4.3	5.0	4.5
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	86%	100%	86%	100%	90%

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent -	Very Good	100	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Description:		4		3		2		1	

HOD/IT

Dr. ANITHA RAMESH, Ph.D., HOD/I.T. Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Information Technology

Academic Year :2021-2022

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
_	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4:2
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.2
ري	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.0
V.	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.2
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.0
7	The library is well equipped	4.3
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.3
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	5.0
	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.0
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.0
i.	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.3

Suggestions for improvement: -N1

HOD-IT



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



ACADEMIC YEAR-2021-2022 CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Alumni	
1	Placement training and practices should be provided	

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION



Standard: ISO 9001:2015

ACADEMIC YEAR-2021-2022 CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Employers
1	Enhance awareness about new innovation technologies
2	Ability to manage/leadership qualities

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



THIRDVANAMALITAL VBUIND FUGINEERING COLLEGE

Consolidated Students feedback on Teachers-



Department: MECHANICAL

Standard: ISO 9001:2015 Mid Semester

6	No. of Units covered	C . H	8	49	3	3	3	۵	н	•
8	Attitude towards Students (Strict/Friendly)	3 = F	土	£	7\$8	7	7 = 8	±	7 = 8	E
1	the of teaching aids	4.4	9.4	4.3	H	1.76	74	1.4	Ŧ, E	2. th
9	Use of Black board	Ŧ . Ħ	8.4	F.4	G .H	F.A	9.4	7.4	8.2	H. H
ç	Classroom discussions	8.4	G . H	9.49	1 .76	€.4	H-3	C.H	C .+4	8.4
b	Problem solving	9.4	£ .4	G. A	C. H	١.4	F - H	8.8	P. E	e. H
5	of samples to	5. H	8 · H	C.4	P- &	H-4	C. H	4.4	P. &	4 . 14
z	Communication /Explaining Concepts	8·H	- F . H	५ . म	1·H	1.4	1.4	1-4	1.4	F.A
1	Attitude towards subject	8.4	e . 16	F. 7	4.8	P. 8	18. H	4.5	1.4	4.4
-	Staff Vame	THE DENVIOUS	TRULEAT.	DAYAKUHAR	RAHESH	H. VENKATE SON	H. NOKHIROW	HY. VERNEV	HY	DEVASENS
ON	Subject Name	DIS	TMH	FA	d 1 H	FEA	CADICAN	CADICAD	DITOM	Ξ٦
.s	Subject code	WEBPZI	EP487H	HEBOOT	HE889H	HE BEGS	1 PUS JM			

Good Describrious Excellent - Acty Good Note: For S. No 1-7, Average rating point given by the respondents

Haring Points

For S.No 8 Write numbers for S and F (Ex. If 4 students mentioned as strict and 6 students as Friendly,

write S-4 and F-6). For S. No 9 write number of units covered

Write the highlights of general feedback on the backside of this sheet

Dr. B. SELVARAT Mame Signature with date

Hair

1000[

Semester: VI

DOC ISCI VEC/ISO/CEBM/5051-55/EAEN

Date: 11.05.2022

Academic Year: 2021-22



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Mechanical Engineering


Academic Year: 2021-2022

institution, governance Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the

SNO	Details	Avg Score
		(Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.2
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.3
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.2
7	The library is well equipped	4.3
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.3
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.3
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.8
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.2
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.3

Suggestions for improvement:





2.70

69.2

0

43

7

602

81

316

ÞΙ

GBNIATTA

LEVEL 3



BATCH-17-21 ALUMINI SURVEY -CONSOLIDATED

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING TIRUVANNAMALAI VISITAL ENGINEERING COFFECE



										, r
	1		Extra-Curricular Activities	02	61	ST	7		19.2	
			Recreational Facilities	04	23	ÞΤ	7		69.2	
			Transport Facilities	1/8	OT	ST	0		87.2	l 1
	1 1		Hostel Facilities	04	52	6	S		2.68	
			Student-Staff Relationship	LL	70	10	7		27.2	
		1	How about Ad-on Courses	0۷	50	Δī	7		79.2	GBNIATTA
τ	1202-7102	601	Discipline Standards	58	LΤ	S	7		28.2	LEVEL 3
			Counseling Activity	08	L I	10	7		97.2	l [
	1 1		Library Facility	04	72	10	t		69.2	
) u	Commitment of Faculty	58	ττ	L	9		2.76	
			Students Personality Development	69	20	61	τ		99.2	
			Course Delivery	7.5	23	OT	t		2.70	
- 1			Infrastructure	04	70	ÞΙ	S		29.2	
ON-15	VEAR YEAR	нтаизятг	Description	EXCELLENT (S)	GOOD (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Poor (1)	SniteA	gemarks

4911

SL

AVERAGE

noitentainimbA

Interaction with







FEEDBACK CONSOLIDATION

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 – 2021







Action taken report for the Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year

Department of Biotechnology

Date:12/4/2021

Academic year: 2020-2021

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	f Suggestions				
Faculty	6	Be encouraged to offer multi-disciplinary courses				
Student	97	Offline sessions must be planned				
Alumni	32	Arrange some course or lectures about recent trends in biotechnology for improving knowledge				
Employers	-					

Prepared By

HOD-BT

Principal



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Chemical Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	4.2
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme/ course outcomes.	4.5
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.7
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.4
7	The library is well equipped	4.9
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.4
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.4
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system/ Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Suggestions for improvement: Nil

Mass

HOD-CHEMICAL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 3.2 2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 3020 - 21

Criteria/Bubject code	i Cirii Liber	्रहा १८५१	Ebea	C65.2	113	8064	1			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	চ	5	5	4	· 4)) 			
Presentation / Communication	4	г,	5	4	4	.4				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	. •5	15	4	4	4				
Class Management / Absessment of Students	5	,	5	4	4	4		*		
OVERALL RATING	E,	5 5	5	4	4	4		_		 -
absement in Percentage	4	4	Ь,	5	4	4				
w	***	AV	ERAGE	% (8AT)	SFACT	ON IND	EX): 8	3.33 %	 1	 1

Description - Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor Rating Point: 5 4 3 2 1

HOD/CHEMICAL

Mass



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2020-2021



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.	No	Suggestion given by Alumni
	1	Providing access to professional development resources

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

Principal Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2020-2021



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Implementing a mentorship program connecting alumni with current students

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603





Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2020-21

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 21.04.2021

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	7	Wi-Fi Facility is needed for smooth conduct of online classes more effectively.
Students	35	Offline sessions must be planned.
Alumni	15	Webinar to be organized to familiarize the students with the career opportunity in Civil Engineering field.
Employers	3	Introduce some skill development sessions.

HOD/CIVIL

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING LULLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 503

PRINCIPAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of CIVIL

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.4
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.0
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.6
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.2
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.4
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.0
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.6
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.1
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.2
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: Provide computer System attached with web camera to conduct effective online classes.

R. Kothiroun HOD-CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 28/04/21

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21

Criteria/Subject code	CE830)	CES302	CE 8351	CE8391	CE8392	MAR353		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.15	4.09	4.38	4.49	4.13	4.14		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.02	3.97	4.15	4.54	3.99	4.09		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4-11	4.12	4.26	4.19	3.95	4.15		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.26	4.11	4.10	4.13	3.86	4.18		
OVERALL RATING	4.13	4.07	4.22	4.34	3.98	4.12		
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	82.6%		84.44.	86.8 %	79.6%	82.41.		

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	749	Fair	- 14 m	Poor
Rating Point:-			4		3		2		11
Raung ront.									



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 28/04/21

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21

Criteria/Subject code	CERSOI	Of Stna	(68591	ENRUA.	GL Spar	tores			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION									
PRESENTATION /	4.29	4.35	4.18	4.19	4.35	4.12			
COMMUNICATION	4.35	429	4.15	14.14	4.42	3.97			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.39	4.16	4.05	4.01	4.29	4:09			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.40	H·12	4.29	4.08	4.45	4-11			
OVERALL RATING	4.35	4.23	4.17	4.10	4.88	4.07			
ASSESMENT IN	87%	84.6%	83.47	827.	87.6%.	81.4%			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 84.33%.

Description:-	Excellent	((*)	Very Good	-	Good	 Fair	- -	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3	2		1	

R. Kathirson HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 28/4/21

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21

Criteria/Subject code	CE8701	(FRAD2	(FS703	CE8011	OML 751			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.12	4.28	4.16	4.45	4.36			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.08	4.17	4.29	4.38	4.31			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.19	4.22	4.25	4.42	4.23			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.22	4.12	4.10	4.54	4.49			
OVERALL RATING	4.15	4.20	4.20	4.45	4.36			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	83%	841	847.	89%	87.2%			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 85.44%.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	 Good	1955	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3		2		1	

P. Kathiroun HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 3|11 2020

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21

Criteria/Subject code	CE 8401	CE 8402	CE8403	CE8404	(F849)	MARUAI			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	8.84	4.35		4.33	4.11	3.86			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	3.96	4.40	4.16	4.29	4.09	8.93			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3.82	4.39	4.29	4.40	4.12	3.97			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	3.85	4.29	4.35	4.24	4.24	4.09			
OVERALL RATING	3.86	4.85	4.23	4.32	4.13	3.96			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	47.24.	871.	84.64.	86.44	82.64.	79.27.			

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	575	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 3/11/2020

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21

Criteria/Subject code	Œ8601	CE 8602	CE 8603	CF 8604	EN 8592	(E 800)		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.10			8.89		(1)		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.38	4.05	4.20	3.95	4.12	4.12		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.24	4.18	4.45	4.04	3 87	4.14		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4-12		4.13	3.78	3.93	4.10		
OVERALL RATING	4-22	4.15	4.33	3.92	3.99	4.10		
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	84.47.	834	86.6%	78.4%	79.8%	827		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 82.367.

				04	5/55	Fair	4	Poor
Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	Good		ran		1
Rating Point:-			4	3		2		1
Rating Ponic.	0							

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 3/11/2020

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020 -21

Criteria/Subject code	CE8016	(E 8022					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.38	4.48					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.42	4.37					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	456	4.23					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.48	4.51					
OVERALL RATING	4.46	4.40					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	89.21	887					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 88.6%

Description:-	Excellent	_	Very Good	Good	-	Fair	 Poor
Rating Point:-			4	3		2	1





Analysis of Feedback from Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2020-21

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 21.04.2021

S.No.	Suggestions given by Alumni
1.	Webinar to be organized to familiarize the students with the career opportunity in Civil Engineering field.

P. Layathr Prepared By R. Kothiren

HOD/CIVIL
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603 PRINCIPAL Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603







Analysis of Feedback from Employers on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2020-21

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 21.04.2021

S.No.	Suggestions given by Employers
1.	Introduce some skill development sessions.

P. Dayothin Prepared By R-Kothise HOD/CIVIL

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAL ENGINEERING CULLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAL - 606 603 PRINCIPAL Principal Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

ACTION TAPIEN ON FUEDBACK ANALYSIS

Ctandard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

Consolidated Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2020 – 21

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	10	Internet Facility is required in the department staff room, Value Added Courses for students may be conducted to bridge the gap in curriculum
Students	_	<u> </u>
AJumni	_	
Employers		_

Prepared By

Principal
Arunal Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Ł.

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.0
3	reserved and is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
1	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	3.6
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
5	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.1
7	The library is well equipped	4.1
	The inference and lable in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
TO .	1 also bean Lachifles are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Senting Arrangement etc)	4.5
r)	The state of the s	4.2
	This are approachable and accessible	2
2	the work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.3
3	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.1
C	improvement. Volve A 11-10.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: Value Added Courses for students may be conducted to bridge the gap in curriculum, Internet facility at staffroom.

Prepared By

Principal College Arunai Engineering 606 603

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.9
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.9
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.6
7	The library is well equipped	4.5
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.6
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.2
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.0
2	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.1
3	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.4

Suggestions for improvement:.

HOD/EEE



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	#3	MA	cy	GE	PH	GE		Γ		T	
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152				1	
PLANNING AND	08-2542										
ORGANISATION	4.79	3.86	4.33	4.18	3.86	4.18				1	
PRESENTATION /			4.55	100	0.00						
COMMUNICATION	4-62	3.25	4.14	4.2	3.95	4-15					
TUDENTS											
PARTICIPATION	4.65	3.66	4.40	4.35	4-08	4.12			f)		- 2
LASS MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT OF TUDENTS						-		12			
2:	4.25	3.79	4.31	4.24	3.92	4.09					
VERALL RATING	4.7	3.22	4.26	4.02	3.95	4.14					
SSESMENT IN ERCENTAGE	94%	75.4%	86.2%	9	79%	82.4%	•				

Description:- 1	Excellent	-	Very Good	 Good	_	Fair	-,-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3		2		1	

Signature with date	A-ATT		
Name	A. Vanle atesan	Dr.M. Ravichardras	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	The was law .



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR:

2020-21 lodd sem.

B.e/civil

175	MA	29	GE	PH	GE				
8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152				
		416							
3.65	3.89	4.29	3.85	4.05	.2.91	v.			
3.94	4.02	4.15	3.93	4.19	3.89				
					= x '				
3.77	3-98	4.12	4.05	4-18	3.99		1		
			•						
3.69	3.92	3.98	3.89	4.15	3.72	-			
3.78	4.09	4.05	3.92		3.85				
					77.8%		4		
	3.65 3.94 3.77 3.69 3.78	8151 P151 3.65 3.89 3.94 4.02 3.77 3.98 3.69 3.92 3.78 4.09	8151 8151 8151 3.65 3.89 4.29 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.77 3.98 4.12 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.78 4.09 4.05	8151 8151 8151 8151 3.65 3.89 4.29 3.85 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.93 3.77 3.98 4.12 4.05 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.89 3.78 4.09 4.05 3.92	8151 8151 8151 8151 8151 3.65 3.89 4.29 3.85 4.05 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.93 4.19 3.77 3.98 4.12 4.05 4.18 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.89 4.15 3.78 4.09 4.05 3.92 4.29	8151 8151 8151 8151 8151 8151 3.65 3.89 4.29 3.85 4.05 3.99 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.93 4.19 3.89 3.77 3.98 4.12 4.05 4.18 3.99 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.89 4.11 3.12 3.78 4.09 4.05 3.92 4.29 3.85	3.65 3.89 4.29 3.85 4.05 3.99 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.93 4.19 3.89 3.77 3.98 4.12 4.05 4.18 3.99 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.89 4.17 3.12 3.78 4.09 4.05 3.92 4.29 3.85	8151 P151 8151 8151 8151 8152 3.65 3.89 4.29 3.85 4.05 3.99 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.93 4.19 3.89 3.77 3.98 4.12 4.05 4.18 3.99 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.89 4.17 3.12 3.78 4.09 4.05 3.92 4.29 3.85	8151 P151 8151 8151 8151 8151 8152 3.65 3.89 4.29 3.85 4.05 3.99 3.94 4.02 4.15 3.93 4.19 3.89 3.77 3.98 4.12 4.05 4.18 3.99 3.69 3.92 3.98 3.89 4.17 3.92 3.78 4.09 4.05 3.92 4.29 3.85

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	 Fair	-	Poor	•
Rating Point:-	5	750	3 4		3	 2		1	

Signature with date	A.N.		
Name	A. Vanleateson Dy	. M. Rarichardran	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	Company of the second



ASSESMENT IN

PERCENTAGE

81.4% 868%

8-6%

ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE

- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-11 | ODD Sem

| Criteria/Subject code | HS | MA | C9 | GE | PH | GE | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 8151 | 815

ORGANISATION 4.34 4.08 4.35 4.08 4.15 4.19 PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION 4.19 4.12 4.13 4.15 4.18 4.22 STUDENTS **PARTICIPATION** 4:15 4.54 4.3 4.12 5.0 4.02 CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS 4.22 4.13 4.02 4.13 4.09 4.2 **OVERALL RATING** 4.17 4.12 4.14 4.13 4.49 4.18

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

82.4% 82.4% 88-2%

Description:- Excel	lent -	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5		4		3		2		11	

Signature with date	A.W.D	2h	
Name	A. Venlantesan	Dr. M. Ravichandran	To the second
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21 / odd Sem

B.E / C.S.E

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	29	GE	PH	GE						
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152						
PLANNING AND												
ORGANISATION	4.13	3.85	4.38	4.35	4.26	4.12				1	3 /	
PRESENTATION /						-1						
COMMUNICATION	4-17	3-84	4.35	4.39	4.38	4.02					-	
STUDENTS					,							
PARTICIPATION	4.09	3.86	4.31	4.40	4.12	4.13						-
CLASS MANAGEMENT												
/ ASSESSMENT OF						,			1	-	200	
STUDENTS										1		
	4-11	3.82	4.37	4.19	4.15	4-15						
OVERALL RATING	3.96	3.76	4.35	4.40	4-10	4-08	Ter :					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	81.8%		87%		84.41.							
	44	ΔΥ	ERAGE	% (SAT	ISFACT	ON IND	EX) : 83	.33 %				

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good		Good		Fair	Poor	Y
Rating Point:-	5		4	0	3	2 3	2	1	

Signature with date	J.M.A.	- Al	
Name	A.Venkestesan	Dr. M. Ravichandron	- Page 1
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21) odd Sem B. E / Mechanical

Criteria/Subject code	HS	·MA	cy	GE	рн	GE						
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152						
PLANNING AND			100			I.S.	11 14					_
ORGANISATION										<i>*</i> .		
DDECENMANION /	4.13	4.39	4.26	4.39	4.23	4.39						
PRESENTATION /			2									
COMMUNICATION	3.96	4.35	4.10	1. 20			85			ě	-	
STUDENTS	3.10	4.22	4.10	4.35	4.02	4.35						
PARTICIPATION												
	4.11	4.31	4.15	4.29	4.12	4.40			ı			
CLASS MANAGEMENT	- 69		(7 .	4.40						_
/ ASSESSMENT OF				P 12 2	11.5							
STUDENTS												
100	4.09	4.35	4.38	4.40	4.15	4.35						
OVERALL RATING					7	1-03				7.00		
Section of the sectio	4.10	4.38	4.22	4.35	4.08	4.29	With the second	7			1	
ASSESMENT IN				1								
PERCENTAGE	81.8%	82%	84.4%	87%	82.4%	80%						
	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	AT	FDACE	0/ /CATI	CEACOT	037 7370						

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	- 1	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3	-87	2		Poor	
			7	1000						

Signature with date	A.V.		
Name	A.Venlantesan		
	Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR:

2020-21 / Even Sem

B.E /EEG

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	96	EE	BE			1	T	
DI ANNING AND	8251	8251	8253	8291	8251	8252					
PLANNING AND			âŋ								
ORGANISATION	1.2-									() () ()	
PRESENTATION /	4.35	4.12	4.35	4.13	4.19	4.15					
COMMUNICATION											
	4.3	4.15	4.3	3.98	4.54	4.08					-
STUDENTS		,		0170	4.54	4.00					
PARTICIPATION				_							
CLASS MANAGEMENT	4.22	4.09	4.22	3.86	4.49	4.12			1	1	
/ ASSESSMENT OF				*							
STUDENTS										İ	
STODENTS	4.19	1. 11.		200							
OVERALL RATING	4.17	4.14	4.19	3.99	4.13	4.23					
	4.13	4.12	4.3	3.95	4.34	4.02					
ASSESMENT IN				V - 7 S	7.07	7.02				-	
PERCENTAGE	86%	82.4%	86%	27.6%	86.8%	82.4%					The state of the s
*		AV	ERAGE			ON INDE	Y) . 02	22.0/			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:- Excellent	2	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	 Door	4 7 9 42
Rating Point:- 5		. 4		3		2	Poor	
		1						

Signature with date		Ac	
Name	A-Vonbatesan	2.10	
	Feedback Collected by	Cr.M. Ravichandran HOD	/* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	and the section of th	HOD	The second second



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2							2.	E. / Hechan	isal	
Criteria/Subject code	145	- Constitution	PH	96	BE	GE		1		
PLANNING AND	8251	8251	8251	8-91	8253	8192	-			
ORGANISATION	4.08	3.99	4.22	2 0.0	,, ,,,					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION					4.20	4.01				+
STUDENTS	4.34	4.22	4-34	3.94	4.34	4.19				*****
PARTICIPATION	4.21	4.08	4.21	3.1/	1					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS					4.20	4.14		1		
THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	4.21	4.14	4.08	3.97	4.03	4.00				
OVERALL RATING	4.21	4.04	4.21	2.5-		The second secon	4,000			-
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	The state of the s		84.2%			827.	ENTERNANCE PROPERTY.	PORTON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		-
The state of the s	#15 = \$0.20 , #1 = C + W = 1	Λ	ERAGE	% (SAT)	SFACTI	ON INDEX):	83.33 %			
Description:- Excellent	M	Very Go	od -	Goo	d -	Fair	e D	oor		
Rating Point:- 5	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	. 4		3	The state of the s	2	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	1	The state of the s	
	/						Harrist Call Co. State of Co.	A STATE OF THE STA		
Signature with date		W.W				A				
Name		A.Va	nkato	som	Du A	1. Ravichano	0	4,326		ne opinio
17		Feedba	ck Colle	cted by	100	HOD	are o	Target a say	Market and a second of the last	-



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-21 / Even Sem.

Criteria/Subject code 1-15 MA PH GE CS BE

Criteria/Subject code	8251	MA 8251	8252	9E 8291	CS 8251	8255					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4-6	4.35	10 JA	4.2	4.8	4.6					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.8	4.29	4.09	4.6	4.6	4.4					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.4	4.42	4.15	5.0	42	4.8		1			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS									180		
	4.8	4.45	4.13	4.4	4.6	4.65	4		700	15.20	
OVERALL RATING	4.65	4.38	4.23	4.55	4.15	4-8		- 4			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	937.		82-6%	917.	91%	93%					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	, , , ,
Rating Point:-	- 5		. 4		3	- 30	2		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Signature with date	A-N-A		
Name	A.Vaulantesau	Au II Pain ()	A Comment
1	Feedback Collected by	Dr. M. Ravichandran HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Humanities and Sciences

Academic Year :2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	
		Avg Score
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	(Out of 5)
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased and clear.	4.4
3	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area. The timely coverage of the Male of the programme / course outcomes.	3.9
4	- Course outcome	4.1
5	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours. I have the freedom to adopt new technique (a)	3.8
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching Good facility and encouragement are available	4.0
7	The library is well equipped	3.8
3	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.6
)	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/A-1) (C	4.2
0	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
1	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained. Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
2	The work distribution is fair and work lead:	4.4
3	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.1
	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.4

Suggestions for improvement: Provide computer system with internet facility at staffroom.

HOD-HAS



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020-2021-II (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA8351	CS8351	CS8391	CS8392	EC8394
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	4	5	5
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	4	5	5
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	5	5	5
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	5	5	5	5
OVERALL RATING	4.7	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	95%	100%	90%	100%	100%

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

	D llamt	- Very Good	-	Good	Fair	-	Poor	
Description:-		4		3	2		1	
Rating Point:-	5							

HOD/IT

Dr. K.ANITHA, Ph.D., HOD/IT., Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603,



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis

Department of Information Technology

Academic Year:2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

5.00	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
-	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4
12	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	40
ري	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	10
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours	10
U	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	1.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	1.0
7	The library is well equipped	4.0
×	The infection of the state of t	4.5
0	The intrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
7	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	2.4
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained	١ - ا
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	- i
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.0
LJ.	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work	

Suggestions for improvement: Provide computer system with internet facility at staffroom.





ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION



Standard: ISO 9001:2015

ACADEMIC YEAR-2020-2021

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Alumni
1	The kind of teaching methodologies, teaching staff and well equipped labs has transformed me into an better engineer

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



ACADEMIC YEAR-2020-2021 CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Employers
1	Students developing practical solutions to work place problems
2	Involvement in social activities

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Principal Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Faculty Evaluation by Student ODD SEMESTER 2020-2021

II-YAER & III Sem

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
0 1	MA8353	Transforms and Partial Differential Equation	Mrs.R.Sivasakthi	4.4
2	ME8391	Engineering Thermodynamics	Mr.P.Arulraj	4.3
3	CE8394	Fluid Mechanics and Machinery	Mr.R.Nakkeran	4.5
4	ME8351	Manufacturing Technology-I	Mr.S.Ramesh	4.2
5	EE8353	Electric Drives and Control	Mr.K.Mohan	4.4

III-YAER V Sem

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
1	ME8501	Metrology and Measurment	Mr.S.Selvarasu	1.2
2	ME8593	Design of Machine Element	Mr.S.Venkatesan	4.3
3	ME8594	Dyanamics of Machines	Mr.P.Ragunath	4.1
4	ME8595	Thermal Engineering-II		4.4
5	ORO551	Renewable Energy Sources	Mr.K.Dhashana Moorthy	4.6
		Treme waste Energy Sources	Dr.S.Karthikeyan	4.5

IV-YAER VII Sem- A Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name		
1	ME6701	Mechatronics	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
2		Power Plant Engineering	Mr.S.Rajeshkumar	4.4
3	ME6703	Process Planning & Cost Estimation	Mr.P.Ragunath	4.7
4	GE6757	Testing of Materials	Dr.L.Jayakumar	4.6
5		Unconventional Machining Process	Dr.S.Thirumalvalavan	4.3
6	ME6012	Non Destructive Testing and Evaluation	Mr.R.Senthil	4.2
		Evaluation	Mr.P.Manikandan	4.5





ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Faculty Evaluation by Student EVEN SEMESTER 2020-2021

II-YAER & IV Sem

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
1		Statistics and Numerical Methods	Mr.A.Sivaprakasam	4.1
2		Kinematics of Machinery	Mr.S.Rajesh Kumar	4.5
3		Manufacturing Technology-II	Dr.S.Karthikeyan	4.3
4		Engineering Metallurgy	Mr.R.Senthil	4.5
5		Strength of Materials & Mechanical Engineering	Mr.S.Selvarasu	4.2
6		Thermal Engineering-I	Mr.K.Dhakshna Moorthy	4.1

III-YAER VI Sem- A Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
1		Design of Transmission System	Dr.S.Thirumal Valavan	4.2
1 2		Computer Aided Design & Manufacturing	Dr.R.Ravichandaran	4.7
2		Heat and Mass Transfer	Mr.P.Arulraj	4.6
3	AND RESIDENCE	Finite Element Analysis	Mr.S. Venkatesan	4.6
- 4	ELIZABETH COMMENCE SELECTION III	Hydraulics and pneumatics	Mr.R.Nakkiran	4.5
3	CARCON CO. C.	Automobile Engineering	Mr.S.Selvarasu	4.3

III-YAER VI Sem- B Sec

	T	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
S.No	Sub.Code		Mr.S.Sivakumar	4.1
1	ME8651	Design of Transmission System	FO. E. S. T. S. C.	1.1
2	ME8691	Computer Aided Design & Manufacturing	Mr.S.Rajeshkumar	4.4
	MEGGO2	Heat and Mass Transfer	Mr.P.Manikandan	4.3
3			Mr.S.Jayakumar	4.5
4	ME8692	Finite Element Analysis	Mr.S.Ramesh	4.2
5	ME8694	Hydraulics and pneumatics		4.5
6	ME8091	Automobile Engineering	Dr.L.Jayakumar	4.3

IV-YAER VIII Sem- A Sec

	10.01	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
S.No	Sub.Code	- 1191 -	Mr.P.Ragunath	4.4
1	MG8591	Principles of Management	Mr.P.Manikandan	4.5
		Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems	Dr.L.Jayakumar	4.7
3	ME8811	Project Work	D. D	

IV-YAER VIII Sem- B Sec

		Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher		
S.No	Sub.Code		Dr.S.Karthikeyan	4.2		
1	MG8591	Principles of Management Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems	Mr.R.Senthil	4.4		
			Mr.S. Venkatesan	4.5		
3	ME8811	Project Work				

ELEDEWECH ...



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Mechanical Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-2021

 $A cademic\ performance\ measured\ through\ teaching-learning\ process\ ,\ curriculum\ plan\ and\ implementation\ ,\ ambience\ of\ the\ institution,\ governance$

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.5
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.2
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.2
7	The library is well equipped	4.6
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.3
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.2
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.2
13	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: Provide computer system with internet facility at staffroom.

HOD/MECH



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

BATCH-16-20

ALUMINI SURVEY - CONSOLIDATED



1	-:00	·	i							
- 0	2.69	0	41	226	289	1138	AVERAGE			
	2.68		.2	18	14	72	Interaction with Administration			
	2.73		2	14	13	77	Extra-Curricular Activities			
	2.62		2	18	26	60	Recreational Facilities			
	2.77		0	10	10	84	Transport Facilities			
	2.68		5	8	26	67	Hostel Facilities			
	2.76		2	10	17	77	Student-Staff Relationship			
	2.69		2	14	20	70	How about Ad-on Courses			,
	2.77		2	10	14	80	Discipline Standards	106	2016-2020	-
	2.57		2	26	18	60	Counseling Activity			
	2.65		4	17	15	70	Library Facility			
	2.77		6	7	8	85	Commitment of Faculty			
	2.80		2	10	10	84	Students Personality Development			
	2.71		4	10	20	72	Course Delivery			
	2.62		2	18	26	60	Infrastructure	(0.)		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	GOOD (4)	5)	Description	STRENGTH	YEAR	
	Rating	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	VERY	EXCELLENT(TOTAL	ACADAMIC	SLNo

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERIN Dr. L. JAYAKUMAR, ME. Phi ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE THIRUVANNAMALAI-606 603 PROFESSIOR AND HEAD







FEEDBACK CONSOLIDATION

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 – 2020







Action taken report for the Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year

Academic Year: 2019 to 2020

Department of Biotechnology

Date:4/2/2020

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	6	Encourage to attend the FDP in esteemed institution
Student	91	Encourage students to conduct new research
Alumni	23	1.Placement training and practices should be provided 2. Arrange some recent trends in biotechnology for improving knowledge
Employers	-	

Prepared By

HOD-BT

Principal Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Chemical Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	4.2
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme/ course outcomes.	4.5
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.7
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.4
7	The library is well equipped	4.9
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.4
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.4
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system/ Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Suggestions for improvement: Nil

Mass

HOD-CHEMICAL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 3.2 2021

ACADEMIC YEAR: 3020 - 21

Criteria/Bubject code	i Cirii Liber	्रहा १८५१	Ebea	C65.2	113	8064	1			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	চ	5	5	4	· 4)) 			
Presentation / Communication	4	г,	5	4	4	.4				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	. •5	15	4	4	4				
Class Management / Absessment of Students	5	,	5	4	4	4		*		
OVERALL RATING	E,	5 5	5	4	4	4		_		 -
absement in Percentage	4	4	Ь,	5	4	4				
w	***	AV	ERAGE	% (8AT)	SFACT	ON IND	EX): 8	3.33 %	 1	 1

Description - Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor Rating Point: 5 4 3 2 1

HOD/CHEMICAL

Mass



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2020-2021



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.	No	Suggestion given by Alumni
	1	Providing access to professional development resources

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

Principal Arunai Engineering College

Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2020-2021



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Implementing a mentorship program connecting alumni with current students

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603





Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2019-20

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 05.02.2020

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	8	Need practical sessions for learning new technologies used in construction field.
Students	50	Frequent workshop must be organized.
Alumni	24	Emphasis can be given on industry related curriculum
Employers	7	Suggested to provide Hands on Training on latest technologies used in construction field.

Prepared By

Hattard
HEAD OHOD/GENIATMENT
DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
ARUNAI ENGINEERING CULLEGE
TIRUVANNAMALAI - 506 JO3

PRINCIPAL

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of CIVIL

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.2
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.0
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.5
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.1
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	3.9
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.6
7	The library is well equipped	4.0
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.3
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.7
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.4
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.1
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: Kindly provide funds for institution member in professional bodies.

HOD-CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 27/11/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019 - 2020

Criteria/Subject code	CE830)	CE 8302	CE 830	CE 8391	CE 8392	MA8353			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.25	4.08	4.02	4.19	3.92	4 05			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	426	4.12	3.89	4.13	3.74	3.95			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.38	4.18	3.92	4.26	3.82	3.98	ā		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.10	4.15	3.85	4.34	3.85	3 87			
OVERALL RATING	4.22	4.13	3 925	4.295	3.83	3.96			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	34.4%	82.6%	78-5%	85.97	76.6%	79.27.			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX) : 81-24.

							- n ·		Deer
	DIlant	197	Very Good	+:	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor
Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good		2		2		1
Rating Point:-	-		4		3				•
Raung Pomt.									

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 26/11/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

Criteria/Subject code	CESSOI	(1850)	(1889)	EN8491	GE 80-11	CAT SSI			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.12	4.03		3.75	4.18	4.13			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.04	4.34	4.02	3.92	4 05	4.02			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3.98	4.23	4.12	3.89	4-21	4.13			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.21	4.20	4.05	રુ.કા	A.16	4.09			
OVERALL RATING	4.09	420	4.03	3.84	4.15	4.07			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	81.81.	844	80.64.	76.81.	83%.	81.47.			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX):

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	 Fair	157	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3	2		1	

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 28/11/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

Criteria/Subject code	CELAUI	Crines	CF15703	CELTOR	CELOUS	NESCI	
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.08	3.82	4.23	4.10	4.49	.01	
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	3-89	3-59	4.03	4.23	4.56	r.) (a	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3.92	3.72	4.34	408	4.55	, 21	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	3.89	8.75	4.20	4.11	4.59	4.08	
OVERALL RATING	3.94	3-72	4.20	4.13	4.55	1.11	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	78.87	74.41.	84%	82.64	917.	32.27	

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 82.27.

							-		D	
Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Description.	Executerit				2		2		1	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		- 4			
Rating I onit.										

Hod/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001;2015

DNV-GL

Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 9/3/20

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

(ESIM)	CF CUID	AF C1 0	45.01	A=01=1						T	
4.04											
3.95	4.19										
3.89	4.54	4.00									
3 98	4.13	4.08	4.58	4.16	3.86						
3.92	4.33	4.11	4.54	4.21	3.98						
		350	12	84.1%	79.67.						
	3.95 3.89 3.98	3.95 4.19 3.89 4.54 3.98 4.13	3.95 4.19 4.15 3.89 4.54 4.00 3.98 4.13 4.08	3.95 4.19 4.15 4.52 3.89 4.54 4.00 4.54 3.92 4.33 4.11 4.54	3.95 4.19 4.15 4.57 4.28 3.89 4.54 4.00 4.54 4.06 3.98 4.13 4.08 4.58 4.16	3.95 4.19 4.54 4.00 4.54 4.06 3.95 3.98 4.13 4.08 4.58 4.16 3.86 3.92 4.33 4.11 4.54 4.21 3.98	3.95 4.19 4.00 4.54 4.06 3.95 3.98 4.13 4.08 4.58 4.16 3.86 3.92 4.33 4.11 4.54 4.21 3.98	3.95 4.19 4.15 4.57 4.06 3.95 3.98 4.13 4.08 4.58 4.16 3.86 3.92 4.33 4.11 4.54 4.21 3.98	3.95 4.19 4.54 4.00 4.54 4.16 3.86 3.92 4.33 4.11 4.54 4.21 3.98	3.95 4.19 4.00 4.54 4.0b 3.95 3.98 4.13 4.08 4.58 4.16 3.86	3.95 4.19 4.54 4.00 4.54 4.0b 3.95 3.98 4.13 4.08 4.58 4.16 3.86

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.61 %.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	5.00	Good	270	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 10/3/20

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

Criteria/Subject code	CE 8601	CF 8602	158603	(E8604	FAIRES	CF 8001			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.08			4.10	3.92	4.38	-		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.5	4.35	3.93	4.12	3.78	4.15			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.19	4.02	4.02	4.05	3.84	4.26			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.05	4.18	3.87	4.14	3.82	4.10			
OVERALL RATING	4.12	4.20	3.99	4.10	3.84	4.22			
ASSESMENT IN	32.44.		79.8%	82%	76.87.	84.44			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 81.567.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Hod/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 11/3/2020

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020

Criteria/Subject code	CE bolb	(Eb021	MG 6857				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.38		4.09				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.18	4.05	3.96				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.29	4.12	4.11			=	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	H-22	4.19	4.17				
OVERALL RATING	4.27	4.15	4.08				
ASSESMENT IN	85.44	83%	81.6%				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33%.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	 Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3	2		1	

Hod/CIVIL







Analysis of Feedback from Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2019-20

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 05.02.2020

S.No.	Suggestions given by Alumni
1.	Emphasis can be given on industry related curriculum.

Prepared By

HOD/CIVIL
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT
DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
ARUNAI ENGINEERING UULLEGE
TIRUVANNAMALAI - 506 603

PRINCIPAL
Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603







Analysis of Feedback from Employers on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2019-20

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 05.02.2020

S.No.	Suggestions given by Employers
1.	Suggested to provide Hands on Training on latest technologies used in construction field.

Prepared By

HEAD OF HEDEFARTMENT DEPT. OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING CULLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

PRINCIPAL
Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION ACTION TAKEN ON FEEDBACK ANALYSIS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

Consolidated Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2019 – 20

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	10	Internet Facility required in the staffroom system
Students	120	Programmes to improve the students technical skills must be increased
Alumni	10	Enhance more technical training programmes
Employers	_	_

Prepared By

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603 HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.0
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	3.8
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.9
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	3.9
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.6
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.6
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: NIL

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Academic Year :2019-2020

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.1
2	The course syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	3.9
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.2
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.4
7	The library is well equipped	4.2
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.4
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.5
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.1
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.2

Principal

unai Engineering College/ firuvannamalal - 606 603

Suggestions for improvement: may be furnithed to participate in entra

Dr. B.ELANGO BEMAN Professor & Head .

Dept. of Electronic (O) Dempuquatica Enge. Arunal angineering College, Tiruvannamalai-606 603.



DNV-GL

Format: F-FDB-02 Version: 01

QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION
FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS
Standard: ISO 9001:2015

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 201	9-2020(E	EVEN)	-7	70007	1 1	sem				Date: (06.04.2020
Criteria/Subject code	MA8451	EC8452	EC8491	EC8453	EC8451	GE8291					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	4	5	5	5	,,				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	. 4	5	4	5	5	. 4	*			300	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	. 5	4.	5	5	4	. 5	-			ia .	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	4	5 .	. 5	5	5		* .	18		
OVERALL RATING	4.5	4.5	4.5	5	4.75	4.75				1	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	90	90	90	100	95	95		,			
		AVE	RAGE 9	6 (SATIS	SFACTIO	N INDE	X): 83	3.33 %		:	
Description:- Exceller Rating Point:- 5	nt -	Very Go 4	od -	Goo			air 2		Poor 1		100

Principal

Arunai Engineering College

Arunai Engineering College

Dr. B. ELANGO B.E.M.E.Ph.D.

Professor & Head

Dept. of Electronics and Communication fings

Arunal #ngineering College,

Tiruvannemalai-606 603.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015

DNVGL

Format: F-FDB-02 Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 06.04.2020

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020(EVEN)

III year / VI sem

Criteria/Subject code	EC8691	EC8095	EC8652	MG8591	EC8651	EC8004				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	4	5	5				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	· 4	5	5	4	5	8			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	5	4	5	4 .				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	5	. 5.	5	5	5		ε		
OVERALL RATING	4.75	4.5	5	4.5	4.75	4.75				+
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	95	95	100	90	95	95				

Very Good Excellent -Description:-Good Fair Poor Rating Point:-5 . 3 2

Principal Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Professor & Head Degt. of Electronics and Communication Fry

Arunai #ngineering College Tiruvannamalai-606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 06.04.2020

-TV your / Vin sen ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020(EVEN)

EC6801	EC6802	CS6003	CS6701								
5	, 5	5	4	,					-		
4	5	4	5			a					
5.	5	4	5				8	•			
4	5.	. 5	4	•			*			•	12
	3					1.	0.00				
4.5	5	4.5	4.5								
90	100	90	90								
	5	5 5 5 4 5 5 5 5	5 5 5 4 5 5 4.5 90 100 90	5 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 90 100 90 90	5 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 4.5 90 100 90 90	5 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	5 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	5 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	5 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	5	5

Description:-Excellent -Very Good Good Fair Poor Rating Point:-4 3 2

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 601

Dest. of Electronics and Communication Enes. Arunal Angineering Celler. Tirgvannamelal-606 644.



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI – 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK (2019-2020)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	It is important to promote student in higher studies to learn new technologies.

Prepared by

HOD/ECE
Dr. S.ELANGO B.E.,M.E.,Ph.D.,

Professor & Head

Papt, of Electronics and Communication Fings.
Accumal #ngineering College.

Tananamalai-606 603.

PRINCIPAL

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI – 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYER FEEDBACK(2019-2020)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Employer
1	Suggestion given to encourage the students to become entrepreneur

Prepared by

HOD/ECE

Professor & Head

Ispit of Heartonics and Communication long

and Engineering College.

- innamalai-606 603

PRINCIPAL
Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Date: 04.11.2019

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 II YEAR (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA8353	ME8391	CE8394	ME8351	EE8353					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	5	4	5	4					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	4	5	5					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	4	4	5	4					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	5	5	4	4					
OVERALL RATING	4	4.5	4.3	4.75	4.3					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	80	90	85	95	85					
		A	VERAG	EE % (SA	ATISFA(CTION	INDEX)	: 87 %		

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06

Date: 06.04.2020

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 III YEAR A (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	ME8501	ME8593	ME8594	ME8595	ORO551				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	5	4	4	5				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	5	4	4				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	5	4	4				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	4	5	4	5				
OVERALL RATING	4.3	4.75	4.75	4	4.5				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	85	90	95	80	90				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 88 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

HOD/MECH



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06 Version : 01

Date: 06.04.2020

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 -II YEAR

Criteria/Subject code	MA8452	ME8492	ME8451	ME8491	CE8396	ME8493			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	4	5	4	5	4			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	4	5	4	5			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	4	4	5	4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OFSTUDENTS	4	4	4	5	5	5			
OVERALL RATING	4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.75	4.5			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	80	85	85	90	95	90	07.5.0/		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 87.5 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.11.2019

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 -III YEAR B

Criteria/Subject code	ME8651	ME8691	ME8693	ME8692	ME8694	ME8091			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	4	5	5			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	4	5	4	5			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	5	4	4	4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OFSTUDENTS	4	4	5	5	4	4			
OVERALL RATING	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	85	90	95	90	85	90			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 89.16 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Mechanical Engineering

Academic Year :2019-2020

 $A cademic\ performance\ measured\ through\ teaching-learning\ process\ ,\ curriculum\ plan\ and\ implementation\ ,\ ambience\ of\ the\ institution,\ governance$


S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.2
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.9
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.7
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.6
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.7
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement:

HOD/MECH



ALUMINI SURVEY -CONSOLIDATED BATCH- 2019-2020



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE



	20.2							н							LNo
								2015-2019	_						ACADAMIC YEAR
							-	200				=#5 E			TOTAL
AVERAGE	Administration	Extra-Curricular Activities	Recreational Facilities	Transport Facilities	Hostel Facilities	Student-Staff Relationship	How about Ad-on Courses	Discipline Standards	Counseling Activity	Library Facility	Commitment of Faculty	Students Personality Development	Course Delivery	infrastructure	Description
1813	142	135	120	128	127	140	120	117	141	130	122	131	128	132	S) EXCELLENT(
589	×	32	42	52	43	32	4	50	43	45	45	39	47	40	VERY GOOD (4)
320	23	23	28	19	18	23	21	23	16	23	26	26	23	28	(3)
78		10	10	1	12	5	15	10		2	7	4	2		Satisfactory (2)
0						83									(1)
2.69	2.76	2.68	2.62	2.72	2.66	2.72	2.61	2.62	2.78	2.71	2.65	2.69	2.70	2.71	Sure
ATTAINED		tos:					4	ATTAINED	LEVEL 3						200



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	cy	GE	GE		hemica		
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152				
PLANNING AND										
ORGANISATION	4.6	4.4	4.56	4.38	4.50	4.2				
PRESENTATION /						'				
COMMUNICATION	4.8	4.2	4.4	4.15	4.35	4.4				
STUDENTS						•			LT.	
PARTICIPATION	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.22	4.4	4.6		£		
CLASS MANAGEMENT			7.7	122		7 0			1	
/ ASSESSMENT OF										
STUDENTS	4.2	4.6	4.48	4.35	4.49	4.2				
OVERALL RATING	4.6	4.35	4.46	4.36	4.40	4.35				
ASSESMENT IN					•					
PERCENTAGE	92%	87%	89.2%	86%	88%	82%				

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Danielle	da	
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. M. Ravichandran	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20 /ODD Sen

B. E / Mechanical

Criteria/Subject code	HS	HA	PH	Ly	GE.	90			
189m	8151	8151	8151	8751	8151	8151			
PLANNING AND					0.3/	0.12			
ORGANISATION	4.19	4.49	4.40	4.09	4.23	3.99			
PRESENTATION /				9-01		3.77			
COMMUNICATION	4.11	4.54	4.22	4.14	4.02	3.86			
STUDENTS				, ,	1				
PARTICIPATION	4.13	4.69	4.27	4.15	4.15	3.95		1	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS									
	4.35	4.13	4.22	4.18	4.08	4.13			
OVERALL RATING	4.3	4.34	4.28	4.12					
ASSESMENT IN						J			
PERCENTAGE	86%	86.87.	85.6%	82.4%	82.4%	79.6%			
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDEX) :	83.33.%		

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	12	Poor	G
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Lawy	dell	
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. H. Racichandran	2
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015

DNV-GL 030 9001 2015 Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20 /odd sem

B.E/ECE

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	cy	GE	96			11/	
ontona, subject code	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.35	3.96	4.19		4.11	4.15				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.31		4.40	4.08	3.96	4.10				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.38			4.23		4.28		1		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS										
	4. 37	3.84	4.39	4.02	4.17	4.38			1	
OVERALL RATING	4.35	3.86	4.35	4.12	4.07	4.24				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE		72.2%			. ,	•				
						ON INDEX):	83.33 %	1		

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	Time to the second seco
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Lawyll	210	
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. M. Ravichendran	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	<u> </u>



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20 (ODD Sem

B. 7eeh / 7.7.

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	cy	96	96					
	8151	8151	8151	8251	8151	8152			1		1
PLANNING AND											
ORGANISATION	4.14	3.79	3.92	4.75	4.24	4.25					
PRESENTATION /			-								
COMMUNICATION	4-09	3.66	3.95	4.65	4.40	4.60					
STUDENTS						, ,,					
PARTICIPATION	4.15	3.75	4.08	4.62	4.33	4.62					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS		10				(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	4.18	3.86	3.86	4.29	4.26	4.27				1	
OVERALL RATING		l est	3.95	4.7	4.31	4.2		Ţ.			
ASSESMENT IN						1					
PERCENTAGE	82.4%	75.47.	79%	94%	86.21.	94%					
						ON INDE	X) · 83	33 %			

Description:-	Excellent	Ē	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2	1	

	Feedback Collected by	Or. M. Ravichandran HOD	1 V
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	0.40 0	
Signature with date	S. Danyl	30	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	C9	96	SE		T	
<u> </u>	8151	8151	8151	8051	8751	8152			
PLANNING AND						0.0			
ORGANISATION	3.89	3.69	4.07	4.18	3.92	3.94			
PRESENTATION /	-			7 16	3.10	3.79			
COMMUNICATION									
	4.05	3.28	3.92	4.18	3.99	3.67			
STUDENTS									
PARTICIPATION									
	3.92	3.65	3.87	4.29	3.85	3.65	1)		
CLASS MANAGEMENT						14.			
/ ASSESSMENT OF			Ď.						
STUDENTS									1 1
	3.85	3-94	4.02	4.19	3.89	3.22			
OVERALL RATING									
	3.73	3.00	3.98	4.05	3.99	3-85			
ASSESMENT IN									
PERCENTAGE	28.6%	25.4%	79.67.	83.4%	72.81.	75.4%			-

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	발	Fair	-	Poor	0
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Danuth		
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. M. Ravichandma	э.
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



OVERALL RATING

ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE

ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE

QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	8251	MA 8251	PH	BE	GE	25	B.E/		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.8	4.60	8252						
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION		Of		4.42	5.0	4.6			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.6	4.35	9.6	4.19	4.4	4.4	-		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4-4	4.92	5.0	4.35	4-6	4.8		3	
	4.60		1. 4						

82.61. 91%. 93%. AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:- Excellent -	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	_	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5	4		3		2		1	

	Feedback Collected by	HOD	
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. H. Ravichandran	
Signature with date	B. Barrell	Mode	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

riteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PA	BE	96	cs			T	1
	8251	8251	8252	8255	1000	8251				
LANNING AND		N.		0.233		6237				+
RGANISATION										
DECENMENTON	3.96	4.40	3.85	4.26	4.38	4.09				1
RESENTATION /							E 11 5 12 10 11			
OMMUNICATION									1	
TUDENTS	4.13	4.35	3.82	4.38	4.37	4.12				
			li .							1
ARTICIPATION	1. 00		2 01		ross com extend					1
LASS MANAGEMENT	9.0)	4.37	5.76	4.22	9.35	4.42				
ASSESSMENT OF										
TUDENTS								tia		
CDENIS			capto man vo							
VERALL RATING	4.12	4.29	3.84	4.15	4.35	3.96				
PRADE KATING	4.11	11.1-								
SSESMENT IN	4.11	4.77	1.26	4.10	4.31	4.11				
ERCENTAGE	12									
MODITAGE	81.8%.	827	72 11	84.4%	£2'/	2 2				
	0.077	A 37	EDACE	0/ 10 107	0 / /.	ON INDEX				

Description:- Excellent -	Very Good	- Good	- Fair	Descri	
Rating Point:- 5	4	3	2	- Poor	
				1	

0.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	Guen				7,	Chem;	 	
cincila/Subject code	8251	8251	8254	8172	BE	CH				
PLANNING AND	0-3/	0-5/	6239	6272	8256	8201				-
ORGANISATION	4.6	4.4	4.40	4.48	4.50	4.25				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION		.,,	7:7-	4 76	4.5	4.2			3	
TANID DIVAC	4.2	4.6	4.35	4.46	4.49	4.35				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.25	4.8	4.50	t, 11	4.40	4.2.				
CLASS MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	, 35		4-7-	4. 7	7.10	7.38				
	4.2	4.6	4.1	4-56	4.10	1,20				
OVERALL RATING	4.4	4.6	4.40		N 02 NS3					
ASSESMENT IN			7.45	1-7	9 10	7.00				
PERCENTAGE	821.	927.	88%.	89.21.	881.	881.				
		AV	VERAGE			ON INDEX)	. 83 33 %			

Description:- Excel	lent -	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	_	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Samuell		
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. M. Ravichandran	-
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	7 (22)



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20/ Even Sem

Bio-Tech

								II TO COLOR DE LA COLOR DE			
Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	BE	BT	B7			1		
	8251	8251	8254	8252	8251	8291			1	I	
PLANNING AND	i face-					4-77					
ORGANISATION											
оны сторожников по том от т	3.82	4.33	3.93	4.09	4.12	4.16					
PRESENTATION /	-		5 / 5		4.12	9.16			-		4
COMMUNICATION											
	3.22	4.40	3.86	4.21	4.23	4.35				1	
STUDENTS	3.72		3,00	7.21	7.23	9.51					
PARTICIPATION							1				
i merich arion	221	4.32	3.96	4.11							
CLASS MANAGEMENT	3.73		3.78	7.11	4.17	4.29					
						52					
/ ASSESSMENT OF											
STUDENTS	land in									1	
	3.20	4.19	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.23				1 1	
OVERALL RATING						1 - 2				1	
	3-67	4.24	2.97	4.21	4.35	U.11					
ASSESMENT IN			J , .	1		1 -					-
PERCENTAGE	200.00			COMMON	000 000						
- Zitobii IIIGb	24.6%	86.4%	79.4%	82.6%	84.6%	84.6%				1	
		A THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF T				ON INDEX	. 00 0	2.0/			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33.%

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	31	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Lawyeth	10	
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. H. Rarichardran	LRI
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-20 / Even Sem

B. E/civil

Criteria/Subject code	HS 8251	HA 8151	8201	BE	GE 8291	GE 8292			
PLANNING AND	0237	0237	020/	8251	6271	6272			
ORGANISATION	4.02	4.34	4.02	4.2	4.12	4.08			
PRESENTATION /						7.0			
COMMUNICATION	4.08	4.13	4.12	4.28	4.14	4.23			
STUDENTS		-(
PARTICIPATION	4.12	4.19	4.08	5.0	4.5	4.04		i.	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS									
	4.23	4.54	4.25	4.26	4.18	4.12			
OVERALL RATING	4.15				4.09	,			
ASSESMENT IN									
PERCENTAGE	82.4%	86.8%	82.4%	88.2%	82.4%	82.47.			
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDEX) :	83.33 %		

Description:-	Excellent	Very Good	-	Good	194	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5	4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Burt	John John John John John John John John	
Name	S. RAMAMOORTY	Dr. M. Ravic Kendran	*
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	No.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Humanities and Sciences

Academic Year :2019-2020

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

SNO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	10
2	The course syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	1.8
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques education tools strategies in teaching	4.1
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.5
7	The library is well equipped	4.7
8	The infrastructure available in the college department is excellent and efficiently used	10
)	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD Audio Computer Seating Arrangement etc)	4.6
0	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.6
I	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.5
2	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	10
3	There is a recognition/appraisal system. Incentive: Appreciation of the individual work.	14

Suggestions for improvement:

HODHAS



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020-II (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA8351	CS8351	CS8391	CS8392	EC8394
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
OVERALL RATING	4.7	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	95%	100%	90%	100%	100%

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description: Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor Rating Point: 5 4 3 2 1

HOD IT

Dr. K.ANITHA, Ph.D., HOD/IT., Arunai Engineering College. Tiruvannamalai - 606 603.



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Information Technology

Academic Year :2019-2020

institution, governance Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.0
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
ا دی	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.0
0,	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.9
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.6
Ξ	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.6
دی	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

HOD-IT

Suggestions for improvement: NI



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



ACADEMIC YEAR-2019-2020

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Alumni
1	Encourage students to explore talent & potential by providing an exceptional integrated learning environment.

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Arunai Engineering College Tiruyannamalal - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

DNV-GL

Standard: ISO 9001:2015

ACADEMIC YEAR-2019-2020 CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Employers
1	Ability to Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility
2	Students Open to new ideas and learning new techniques

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Date: 04.11.2019

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 II YEAR (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA8353	ME8391	CE8394	ME8351	EE8353				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	5	4	5	4				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	4	5	5				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	4	4	5	4				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	5	5	4	4				
OVERALL RATING	4	4.5	4.3	4.75	4.3				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	80	90	85	95	85		07.0/		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 87 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06

Date: 06.04.2020

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 III YEAR A (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	ME8501	ME8593	ME8594	ME8595	ORO551				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	5	4	4	5				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	5	4	4				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	5	4	4				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	4	5	4	5				
OVERALL RATING	4.3	4.75	4.75	4	4.5				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	85	90	95	80	90				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 88 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

HOD/MECH



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-06 Version : 01

Date: 06.04.2020

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 -II YEAR

Criteria/Subject code	MA8452	ME8492	ME8451	ME8491	CE8396	ME8493			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	4	5	4	5	4			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	4	4	5	4	5			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	4	4	5	4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OFSTUDENTS	4	4	4	5	5	5			
OVERALL RATING	4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.75	4.5			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	80	85	85	90	95	90	07.5.0/		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 87.5 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 04.11.2019

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2019-2020 -III YEAR B

Criteria/Subject code	ME8651	ME8691	ME8693	ME8692	ME8694	ME8091			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	4	5	5			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4	5	4	5	4	5			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	5	4	4	4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OFSTUDENTS	4	4	5	5	4	4			
OVERALL RATING	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	85	90	95	90	85	90			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 89.16 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3	•	2		1	





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Mechanical Engineering

Academic Year :2019-2020

 $A cademic\ performance\ measured\ through\ teaching-learning\ process\ ,\ curriculum\ plan\ and\ implementation\ ,\ ambience\ of\ the\ institution,\ governance$


S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)		
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5		
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3		
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2		
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.2		
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0		
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3		
7	The library is well equipped	4.9		
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.7		
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5		
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.6		
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3		
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.7		
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0		

Suggestions for improvement:

HOD/MECH



ALUMINI SURVEY -CONSOLIDATED BATCH- 2019-2020



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE



	20.2							н							LNo
								2015-2019	_						ACADAMIC YEAR
							-	200				=#5 E			TOTAL
AVERAGE	Administration	Extra-Curricular Activities	Recreational Facilities	Transport Facilities	Hostel Facilities	Student-Staff Relationship	How about Ad-on Courses	Discipline Standards	Counseling Activity	Library Facility	Commitment of Faculty	Students Personality Development	Course Delivery	infrastructure	Description
1813	142	135	120	128	127	140	120	117	141	130	122	131	128	132	S) EXCELLENT(
589	×	32	42	52	43	32	4	50	43	45	45	39	47	40	VERY GOOD (4)
320	23	23	28	19	18	23	21	23	16	23	26	26	23	28	(3)
78		10	10	1	12	5	15	10		2	7	4	2		Satisfactory (2)
0						83									(1)
2.69	2.76	2.68	2.62	2.72	2.66	2.72	2.61	2.62	2.78	2.71	2.65	2.69	2.70	2.71	Sure
ATTAINED		tos:					4	ATTAINED	LEVEL 3						200







FEEDBACK CONSOLIDATION

ACADEMIC YEAR

2018 - 2019







Action taken report for the Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2018-2019

Department of Biotechnology

Date: 05.08.2019

Number of responses	Suggestions						
7	Wi-Fi facility is required at staff room						
92	Need more coaching class for problem solving skills						
27	More core company placement should be arranged for students						
2							
	responses 7 92 27						

Prepared by

HOD-BT

Principal Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Chemical Engineering

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance.

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.2
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme/ course outcomes.	4.4
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.5
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.0
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.5
7	The library is well equipped	4.2
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.0
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.5
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.1
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.3
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system/ Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.1

Suggestions for improvement: Nil

A. Vadu

Noon

HOD-CHEMICAL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 17.2.2019.

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CH 8791	CH 8701	6071	CUTT	OHE 754					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	5	4	5	1					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	5	5	L ₁	4.				K	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	Lą	5	4	5	5					
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	29	24	يم	5	5					
OVERALL RATING	4	5	5	5	5					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4	ų	5	3					
		AV	ERAGE	% (SAT	ISFACT	ON INI	EX) : 8	3 33 %		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	(.	Very Good	Good	•	Fair	•	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3		2		1	

A. Vadr

HOD/CHEMICAL

Mag



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2018-2019



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Provide placement training and practice opportunities.

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

A. Vadr

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2018-2019



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given byAlumni
1	Establishing internship programs to provide real-world experience

Prepared By

HOD-CHEMICAL

A. William

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603







Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2018-19

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 13.03.2019

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions						
Faculty	9	Faculty should be permitted to use new teaching techniques and strategies such as using ICT and so on.						
Students	85	Recent trends in research and industries must be shared among students and staff members.						
Alumni	38	To create awareness about environment for the sustainable growth by using non-degradable waste as infill material in the structure.						
Employers	4	To fill up the curriculum gap between Institution and industry.						

Prepared By

DEPTOR CIVIL ENGINEETING ARUNALENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALALAL 606 603

PRINCIPAL
Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Civil

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.1
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.4
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.5
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.2
7	The library is well equipped	4.2
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.0
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc.)	4.6
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.2
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.4
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.5

Suggestions for improvement: Kindly provide some extra funds for conducting department oriented FDP'S and others.

HOD-CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 8/10/18

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CERZOI	↑E 8202	(FERC	CE 8391	(E8392	MAR353			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.40		4.19	3.99	4.49	4.23			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.22	4.14	4.26	3.86	4.54	4.02			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.29	4.15	4.13	3.95	4.19	4.15			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.22	4.18	4.35	4.13	4.13	4.08			
OVERALL RATING	4.28	4.12	4.3	3.98	4.34	4.12	*1		
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	85.64.	82.4%	8 6 1.	79.67.	5300				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.8%.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Plattiand

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format : F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 10/10/2018

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CEBSOI	CE6502	CE 6503	CE 6504	CEBSOS	CE 6506		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.75		4.24	4.02		4. 14		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.65	3.66	4.40	4.24	3.95	4.03		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.62	3.75	4.33	4.35	4.08	4.15	1	
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.39	3.84	4.21	4.18	3.86	4.18		
OVERALL RATING	4.9	3.77	4.31	4.2	3.95	4.12		
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE			86.2%	84%	79%	82.47		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.5%

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

SEC-A

Date: 9/10/18

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	Q56701	CE 6702	CE 6703	CE 6704	CE book	ENESOI			-
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.29	4.15	3.96	4.15	4.35	4.11			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.40	4.08	3.82	4.10	4.31	3.96	/4		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.35	4.23	3.85	4.26	4.38	4.13			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.39	4.02	3.84	4.38	4.39	4.17			
OVERALL RATING	4.35	4.12	3.86	4.22	4.35	4.09			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	8-7.0%	82.4%	77.2%		87%	&1.87. ACTION INI			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.37.

									D	
r =	D 114		Very Good	_	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Description:-	Excellent	_	Very Good		2		2		1	
Rating Point:-			4		3				-	
Raung Font.										





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

SEC-B

Date: 9/10/18

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CE6ADI	CE 6702	CF6703	CF 6704	CE6008	ENESON			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	3.97	4.35	421	4.12	3.69	4.24			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.09	4.16	4.09	3.97	3.72	4.29			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3.93	4.29	4.12	4.09	3.82	4.33			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	3.86	4.12	4.11	4.11	3.70	4.40			
OVERALL RATING	3.96	4.23	4.13	4.07	3.73	4.32			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	79.2%	84.6%	82.64.	81.4%	74.67.	86.4%			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 81.467.

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fait - Political - Very Good - Good - Good - Good - Fait - Political - Very Good -						04		Fair	120	Poor
	Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	.54	1 an		1

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 413/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CERAD	CE SLOD	CERUD3	CESUR	(E849)	MA8491				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	3.85		3.89	4.05	4.09	3.69				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	3 99	4.18	4.05	3.98	3.92	3-78				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3.92	4.29	3.92	4.29	3.89	3.65				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	3.99	4.05	3.85	4.15	4.02	394				
OVERALL RATING	3.89	4.19	3.93	4.12	3.98	3.77	,		1	
SSESMENT IN ERCENTAGE	T7.8%	83.47.	78.6%	82.47	79.6%	75.44.				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 79.537

Description:-	Excellent	 Very Good	Good	-	Fair	 Poor
Rating Point:-		4	3		2	1

HOD/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 5/3/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CEHO	CEHO2	CE1603	CF Hay	CEEGOS	1.F.b002			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.14	4.03	3.85	4.08	4.14	4.62			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.19	4.23	3.57	4.21	4.04	4.69			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.01	4.20	3.89	4.22	3.99	4.59			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.08	4.34	3.94	4.34	4.22	h.5	n		
OVERALL RATING	4.10	4.20	3.91	4.21	4.08	4.6	ή.		
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	82%	84%	78-27.	84.21.	81.67.				

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.66%.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	3=8	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Hod/CIVIL



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

SEC-A

Date: 6/3/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	CE both	CE 6021	MG 6851				1	
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.39	4.29	409					
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	460	4.42	415					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.35	4.35	4.04			17		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.42	4.45	423	!				
OVERALL RATING	4.44	4-38	4.13					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	88.84	87.6%	82.6%					

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 86-337.

						n .		Dana	
Description:-	Excellent	_	Very Good	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Description.	Excellent		101)			2		1	
Rating Point:-	5		4	3		2		1	
Raung rount.	3								





QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

SEC-B

Date: 6/3/19

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	(F bab	(F Logi	MG 6851					T		
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4.56	4.50	4.38							
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.4	4.35	4.25							
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4.4	4.25	4.22							
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.48	449	4.35							
OVERALL RATING	4.46	4.40	4.30							
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	89.2%	88%	86%							
			AVERAGE	% (SATISE	ACTION	INDEX	. 0 = =	211		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 89.737.

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	_	Fair		Dear	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2	-	Poor	
									1.	









Analysis of Feedback from Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2018-19

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 13.03.2019

S.No.	Suggestions given by Alumni
1	To create awareness about environment for the sustainable growth by using non- degradable waste as infill material in the structure.

Prepared By

HOD/CIVIL

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT DEPT.OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

Principal
Arunal Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603





Analysis of Feedback from Employers on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2018-19

Department of Civil Engineering

Date: 13.03.2019

S.No.	Suggestions given by Employers
1	To fill up the curriculum gap between Institution and industry.

Prepared By

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT DEPT. OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARUNAI ENGINEERING UULLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI - 606 603

PRINCIPAL

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION ACTION TAKEN ON FEEDBACK ANALYSIS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

Consolidated Analysis of Feedback Collected from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni on the academic performance and ambience of the institution during Academic year 2018 – 19

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Feedback Collected from	Number of responses	Suggestions
Faculty	10	Faculty must have practical exposure by attending FDP
Students	120	Conduct co-curricular activities for the students from the department
Alumni	10	Give the aware on importance of soft skills for the students
Employers	_	-

Prepared By

Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.1
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.2
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.8
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.2
9.	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.8
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.6
13	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0

Suggestions for improvement: Additional System required in the staff room, Faculty must attend FDP to get

HOD-CSE



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Academic Year :2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
!	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.3
2	The course syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.4
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.1
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	3.9
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools/strategies in teaching	139
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.1
7	The library is well equipped	4.8
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.1
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.2
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.7
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.4
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.3
13	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.1

Suggestions for improvement: Principal

Arunal Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Students must be trained properly byone attending Interview.

UF, SELANGO BE ME FRA



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015

DNVGL

Format : F-FDB-02 Version: 01

111

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 22.10.2018

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 JI YEAR | THE SEM

4	5	l ₄	E08352					_		-	-	-
			100	4	4							
5	5	3	3	4	4							
5	3	4	5	4								
3	ţ	5	3	U	4							
4	4	4	3	4	4							+
5	4	3	4	5.	3							
3	5	5 3	5 3 4 5 4 5 4 4 4 5 4 3	5 3 4 5 5 4 5 3 4 4 4 3 5 4 3 4	5 3 4 5 4 5 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 3 4 5 4 3 4 5.	5 3 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 3 4 5. 3	5 3 4 5 4 5 6 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 3 4 5. 3	5 3 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 3 4 5. 3	5 3 4 5 4 5 6 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 3 4 5. 3	5 3 4 5 4 5 6 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 3 4 5. 3	5 3 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4	5 3 4 5 4 5 6 4 5 3 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 3 4 5. 3

Description:-Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Rating Point:-3 2

Principal Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Tiruvannamatai-806 66



5

ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE

QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

111

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 22.10.2018

Criteria/Subject code	Ec6501	Ec6502	EC6503	EC6504	GE6351				
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	4	5	4				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	5	5	4	5				
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3	5	3	3	4				
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	3	3	4	3				
OVERALL RATING	4	4	4	5	4				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	ч	5	3	4	3				
		AVE	RAGE 9	6 (SATIS	FACTION	NDEX):	83.33 %		

3

2

Rating Point:-Principal nai Engineering College ruvannamalai - 606 603

PHOD FECE Head Arunai #ngineering College Tiruvannamalai-506 603



Description: Excellent -

Rating Point:

ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE

QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 22.10.2018

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 - TY YEAR - VII SEM

Criteria/Subject code	EC6701	EC6702	EC670)	276005	Ecpon	Ec 6014			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	Ч	3	5	4	4	3			
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	4	4	5	5	5			
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	3	5	3	3	4	4			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	4	3	4	4	5			
OVERALL RATING	4	3	4	4	3	4			
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	4	4	4	5	4	4			

 Very Good
 Good
 Fair
 Poor

 4
 3
 2
 1

Principal Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603

Dr. S.ELANGO ALMIP

Arunal angineering College,



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI – 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK(2018-2019)

S.NO	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Encourage the students to give seminars so that they can improve their presentation skills.

Prepared by

HOD/ECE

Dr. S.ELANGO S.E.M.E.Ph.G.

Dept. of Electronics and Communication Eng.
Arunal Angineering College,
Tisuvannamalal-606 603.

PRINCIPAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI – 606 603



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYER FEEDBACK(2018-2019)

.NO	Suggestion given by Employer
1	Student's entrepreneurial abilities should be fostered.

Professor & Head

Dept. of Electronics and Communication Eng. Arunai #ngineering College. Tiruvannamalai-606 603.

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 693



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis
Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.8
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.8
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.8
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.9
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.8
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.2
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.6
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.5

Suggestions for improvement: Nil



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 18.02.2019

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

	MA 8491	EE 8401	EE 8402	EE 8403	EE 8451	TC 8451		Į.			
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	4	5	5			T.		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	5	4	5	4	5		Tr.	,	8	
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	4	5	4	5	5			1		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	4	5	5	4	5					
OVERALL RATING	4	5	5	4	5	4	F. Ch. W.	1-11	427	1 103	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	4	4,	5	5 ,	3	i ya ra	ja sa i	1.1		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	J 	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	15
Rating Point:-	5		4	- 1	3	9 4	2		1 199	

M



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 18.02.2019

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	EC 6651	6601	EE 6609	EE 6603	6604	EE 6002		al			-2.4
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5	4	5	5	5	5	-		d.		
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	3	4	4	4	3	4					
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	4	5	5	5	4	5			51 - F		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5	4	5	4	5	4		egi ki Aza	15)		
OVERALL RATING	3	5	4	5	5	4					4
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	5	5	4	5	5	5		. L. 7	Ya .		

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Date: 18.02.2019

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

Criteria/Subject code	EE 6801	EE	GE 6075								
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	4	5	4								
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	5	4	5								
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5	5	5								
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4	5	4	1.690		1	1 _ bag	1 1 (1000
OVERALL RATING	5	5	5	11,21	7	V 131		- A - L	Va	0.00	
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	4	4	4	le.	E a	Ä k	a- 1 .		(1)		
		AVE	RAGE 9	6 (SATI	SFACTION	ON INDE	X) : 83.3	22 %			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

 \mathcal{M}



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2018-2019



CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Placement training and practices should be provide

Prepared By

HOD-EEE

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION Standard: ISO 9001:2015 2018-2019



CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

S.No	Suggestion given by Alumni
1	Enhance awareness about new technologies

Prepared By

HOD-EEE

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015

DNV-GL P

Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 / ODD Sem

B. E / Bio Tech.

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	cy	GE	GE	1				
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152					
PLANNING AND							7.1				
ORGANISATION	4.40	4.09	4.19	3.99	4.49	4.23					
PRESENTATION /	,		-71-		4.4.1	4.25			 	1	
COMMUNICATION	4.22	4.14	4.21	3.86	4.54	4.02					
STUDENTS				0.00	1-1-7	4.02			 -	-	
PARTICIPATION	4.29	4.15	4.13	3.95	4.19	4.15			290	\	- X -
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS			41,5	-0.75	7.1	7.13					7-4
	4.22	4.18	4.35	4.13	4.13	4.08					
OVERALL RATING	4.28	4.12	4.3	3.98	4.34	4.12				Y	141
ASSESMENT IN	85.6%		•	79.6%							. 29
			ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	X): 83.3	3.%			

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	8. Buyll		= 1 1 -
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	Dr. M. RAVICHANDRAN	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 lodd Sem

B.E / ECE

Criteria/Subject code	HS 8151	MA 8151	PH 8-151	cy 8151	GG 8151	GE 8152				- I	
PLANNING AND		<u> </u>	0.31	0/3/	8131	0152		_			-
ORGANISATION	3.85	4.15	3.89	4.05	4.09	3.69					
PRESENTATION /			0 01	100	4.07	3.07					
COMMUNICATION	3.99	4.18	4.05	3.98	3.94	3.28					
STUDENTS			1.03	5.16	3.12	3.70				 	
PARTICIPATION	3.92	4.19	3.92	4.29	3.89	3.65			y.		
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS			3.75		3.07	2.03					
	3.97	4.05	3.85	4.45	4.02	3.94					
OVERALL RATING	3.89	4.19	3.93	4.12	3.58	3.22					
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE		, ,		82.4%							
9		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	(X): 83	.33.%			

Description:- Excel	ient -	Very Good	-	Good	=	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:- 5		4		3		2		1	

₽AN.



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19/000 Sem.

B. E/ Mechanical

Criteria/Subject code	HS 8151	MA 8151	8151	8151	8151	CIE				T
PLANNING AND		0,3,	0/3/	8131	012/	8152				
ORGANISATION	4.75	3.79	4.24	4.02	3.92	4.14				
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION			1	4.02	3.72	4.74			_	
Commenterion	4.65	3.66	4.40	4.24	3.95	4.09				i.
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION										
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	4.62	3.75	4.33	4.35	4.08	4.15				
	4.79	386	4.26	4.18	3.86	4.18				
OVERALL RATING	4.7	3.77	4.31		3.95	4.12				
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE										
	94%	75.41.	86.2%	84%	79%	82.4%				
		AV	ERAGE	% (SATI	SFACTI	ON INDE	X) : 83.	33.%		

	Excellent -	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5	4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	3. Dungh	Ad	
Name	S.RAMAMOORTH	D	
	Feedback Collected by	Dr. M. RAVICHANDRAN	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 /odd Sem.

B.G / C.S.G.

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	cy	GE	GE						
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152						
PLANNING AND											(i)	
ORGANISATION	4.29	4.15	3.96	4.15	4.35	4.11		5, 9			192	
PRESENTATION /				•	1.55	9-11						
COMMUNICATION	4.40	4.08	3.82	4.10	4.31	3.96					*	
STUDENTS						3.12						
PARTICIPATION	4.35	4.23	3.85	4.26	4.38	4.13			1			
CLASS MANAGEMENT		•			1							
/ ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS											- 1	
	4.39	4.02	3.84	4-38	4.37	4.12						
OVERALL RATING	4.35	4.12	3.86	4.22	4.35	4.09					-	
ASSESMENT IN	1				1	" "						
PERCENTAGE	87%	82.41.	77.2%	84.4%	82%	81.8%				-		
			1	% (SAT			EX1:83	33.%	A			

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33.%

Pating Point: 5	Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Folit 3 4 3	Rating Point:-	5		4		3	2		1	

Signature with date	8. Quely		
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	D. M. David	•
	Feedback Collected by	Dr. M. RAVICHANDRAM.	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-191 odd Sem.

B. E/civil

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	CY	GE	GE		T				
	8151	8151	8151	8151	8151	8152						
PLANNING AND												
ORGANISATION	3.97	4.35	4.21	4.12	3.69	4.14		last _				
PRESENTATION /			1	4.12	7.01	7.29			-			1
COMMUNICATION	4.09	4.16	4.09	3.97	3.72	4.19				*		
STUDENTS			7-01	0.77	3.72	4.21					_	-
PARTICIPATION	3.93	4.29	4.12	4.09	3.82	4.33			ı			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS					0.62	200						
<u> </u>	3.86	4.12	4.11	4.11	3.20	4.40						
OVERALL RATING	3.96	4.23	4.13	4.09	3.23	4.32	1					
ASSESMENT IN				1-9-1	3.73	7.02						
PERCENTAGE	79.1%	84.6%	82.6%	81.4%	24.6%	86.4%						
		A	ERAGE	% (SAT	SFACTI	ON INDE	X): 83.	33.%				

Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1	Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	20	Poor	
	Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	8. Lewell		
Name	C Damagana	Dr. M. RAVICHANDRAN.	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	HS	MA	PH	BE	17	CS	5.1	ch/I	1		_
	8251	8251	8252	8255	8201	8251					
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION		4. 00	250								
PRESENTATION /	5.0	4.09	4.23	4.19	4.49	4.23					
COMMUNICATION	4.11	4.14	4.5							×.	
STUDENTS	1	4.14	4.0	4.22	4.54	4.02					
PARTICIPATION	4.19	4.15	4-01	4.13				=			
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS			102	1.5	4.17	4.4			1		
	4.2	4.18	4.08	4.35	4/2	4-08					
OVERALL RATING		4.12		4.3							
ASSESMENT IN	7.3	7-16	4.12	1.3	4.34	4.12					
PERCENTAGE	88.1%	82.41	82.4/	86%	26.07	F. 1.7					
		AT	FRACE	% (SATI	SEACTI	ON INDE	W . 00 (20.04			

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good))) =	Fair	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2	1	¥
		*	7					1	

8. 8. W		
C Pama managery	A O	
Feedback Collected by	HOD HOD	
	S. Ram a-moore THI Feedback Collected by	S. RAMAMOOIRTHI N.M. PAVICHANIAS.



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	HS	GE	PH	HA	BE	<i>IT</i>		1	1	T	I
	8251	8291	8252	8251	8255	8101	*				
PLANNING AND											
ORGANISATION	4.29	4.35	.4.11	3.96	4.15	6.1K					
PRESENTATION /	•		•		4	7 10					
COMMUNICATION	4.40	4.31	3.96	3.82	4.08	8. 10					
STUDENTS					4.00	34.11					
PARTICIPATION	4.39	4.28	4.13	3.8	6.23	4.26		t.			
CLASS MANAGEMENT											
/ ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS											
	4.35	4.39	4.17	3.84	4.02	4.28					
OVERALL RATING		4.35		_	4.12						
ASSESMENT IN			, ,								
PERCENTAGE	82%	827.	81.81.	72.2%	82.4%	84.4%					

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	S. Dannett		
Name	S. RAMAMOORTH	Dr. M. PAVICHANDRAN	
	Feedback Collected by	Dr. M. PAVICHANDRAM HOD	



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 / Even Sem.

B.E/civil.

HS.	MA	PH	DF	GE	GE					1
8251	8251	8201		A Committee of the Comm			1			
4.14	4.03							Sa		
4.19	4.23									
4-01	4.10						ı			
4.08	<i>U</i> .3 <i>V</i>									
		lena no re								
82%	84%						(6)			
	4.19 4.19 4.01 4.08 4.10	8151 8251 4.14 4.03 4.19 4.23 4.01 4.20 4.08 4.34 4.10 4.20	\$251 \$251 \$201 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.01 4.20 3.89 4.08 4.34 3.94 4.10 4.20 3.91	\$251 \$251 \$201 \$251 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.01 4.20 3.89 4.21 4.08 4.34 3.94 4.34 4.10 4.20 3.91 4.21	8251 8251 8201 8251 8291 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.14 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.04 4.01 4.20 3.89 4.22 3.99 4.08 4.34 3.94 4.34 4.22 4.10 4.20 3.91 4.21 4.08	8251 8251 8201 8251 8291 8292 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.14 4.62 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.04 4.09 4.01 4.20 3.89 4.22 3.99 4.57 4.08 4.39 3.99 4.29 4.22 4.55 4.10 4.20 3.91 4.21 4.08 4.6	8251 8251 8251 8291 8292 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.14 4.62 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.04 4.09 4.01 4.10 3.89 4.21 3.99 4.57 4.08 4.34 3.94 4.34 4.21 4.08 4.6 4.10 4.20 3.91 4.21 4.08 4.6	8251 8251 8251 8291 8292 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.14 4.62 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.04 4.09 4.01 4.10 3.89 4.21 3.99 4.57 4.08 4.34 3.94 4.24 4.21 4.08 4.6	8151 8251 8201 8251 8291 8292 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.14 4.62 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.04 4.09 4.01 4.20 3.89 4.22 3.99 4.57 4.08 4.39 3.99 4.21 4.08 4.6	8151 8251 8201 8251 8291 8292 4.14 4.03 3.85 4.08 4.14 4.62 4.19 4.23 3.97 4.21 4.04 4.09 4.01 4.10 3.89 4.21 3.99 4.57 4.08 4.34 3.94 4.34 4.21 4.08 4.10 4.20 3.91 4.21 4.08 4.6

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	Poor	100
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2	1	

Signature with date	3, Danight		
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	DY.M. PAVICHANDRAN.	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015

DNV·GL

Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Criteria/Subject code	HS 8151	MA 8251	PH	cy	86	CH.	B. Tech	1 - new	1			T
PLANNING AND	10237	023/	8734	8272	8256	8201		-				
ORGANISATION	4.39	4.19	4.09	4.4	4.6	1. 1.						100
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.60					4.4					1	1
STUDENTS	4.00	4.42	4.45	5.6	4.8	4.6		-				
PARTICIPATION	4.35	435	4-04	4.6	4.6	4.8						
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS				7~	4.2	4.8						
	4.42	4.45	4.23	4.2	4.2	4.8						
OVERALL RATING		4.38	4./3	4.55	4.55	4.65					-	+
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE					1	4-07			-		1	
	88.8%	82.6%	82.6%	91%.	917.	93%	ti					İ
			ERAGE				EX): 83.	33 %		1		

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	·	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	
			7							

Signature with date	8. Danietti		
Name	S. RAMAMORTHI	Dr. M. PAVICHANDRAN	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	40.0



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19/ Even Sem

B. E . / E. C. G

56 4.50 4 4.35		4.6	4.6	4.4						
	1		4.8	4.2		1			7	
4 4.25	6 6.11						1			
					8					
3		-								
	86%	92%	92%	82%					1 3	
	48 4.47 4b 4.40 2% 88%	48 4.47 4.35 46 4.40 4.30 21. 88% 86%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 21. 88% 86% 92%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 21. 88% 86% 92% 92%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 4.35 21. 88% 86% 92% 92% 82%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 4.35 21. 88% 86% 92% 92% 82%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 4.35	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 4.35 21. 88% 86% 92% 92% 87%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 4.35 21. 88% 86% 92% 92% 82%	48 4.47 4.35 4.2 4.6 4.6 46 4.40 4.30 4.6 4.6 4.35 21. 88% 86% 92% 92% 87%

Description:- Excellent - Very Good - Good - Fair - Poor
Rating Point:- 5 4 3 2 1

Signature with date	8. Dunk		
Name	S. RAMAMOORTHI	DY. M. RAVICHANDRAN	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	



- QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19 / Even Sem

B.E / Hechanical.

						0	10 / 1/00	The state of the s			
Criteria/Subject code	HS.	MA	PH	GE.	GE						
	8251	8251	8251	8291	8292					1	
PLANNING AND											
ORGANISATION	2/4	4.14	2 62	1. 1-							
DDECENTATION	3.67	4.29	3.71	4.35	4.12						
PRESENTATION /											
COMMUNICATION					1 1						
	1 2 2 ,	4.27	4.09	4.16	2 80						4
STUDENTS	3.72	4.21	4.01	7.15	3-92						
					0 1						
PARTICIPATION		1					1 1			1	
	1.382	4.33	2.95	4.29	4.07			1			
CLASS MANAGEMENT		1	13 / -								
[기업 전 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업 기업	1	1			1				1		
/ ASSESSMENT OF			1	1					1		i
STUDENTS		4							1		
	2 -				A						
	3.20	4-40	3.86	4.12	9-11						
OVERALL RATING											
	3.23	4.32	3.76	9.23	4.07						
ASSESMENT IN			3		1						
		1	1	1						9	li l
PERCENTAGE	2	86.41	11	1 20 14	61,4						l.
	17.6/										
		A	VERAGE	% (SAT	ISFACTION I	NDEX): 83.	33 %				07-04-

Description:-	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor	
Rating Point:-	5		4		3		2		1	

Signature with date	8. Daniely	3	
Name	S. RAMAMODETHI	Dr. M. RAVICHANDRAN	
	Feedback Collected by	HOD	1000



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Humanities and Sciences

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching – learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	Details	Avg Score (Out of 5)
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.	4.5
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.	4.3.
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.0
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	3.9
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools/strategies in teaching	3.7
5	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3
7	The library is well equipped	4.8
	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.6
7	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.6
)	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.8
	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3
	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.7
\neg	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4:3

Suggestions for improvement: Wi-Fi facility is required at department block.

HOD-HAS



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY STUDENTS Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-02

Version: 01

STUDENTS CONSOLIDATED FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-2019-III (ODD)

Criteria/Subject code	MA8551	CS8591	EC8641	CS8494	IT8501	OTL53
PLANNING AND ORGANISATION	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
PRESENTATION / COMMUNICATION	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
CLASS MANAGEMENT / ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
OVERALL RATING	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.2	5.0
ASSESMENT IN PERCENTAGE	95%	90%	85%	85%	85%	100%

AVERAGE % (SATISFACTION INDEX): 83.33 %

Description:	Excellent	-	Very Good	-	Good	-	Fair	-	Poor
Rating Point:			4		3		2		1

HOD/IT



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06 Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Information Technology

Academic Year :2018-2019

Academic performance measured through teaching - learning process, curriculum plan and implementation, ambience of the institution, governance

S.NO	S.NO Details
-	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area
(1)	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.
O.	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching
6	Good facility and encouragement are available
7	The library is well equipped
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)
0.1	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department
13	There is a recognition/appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.

Suggestions for improvement: Wi-Fi facility is required at department block.





ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



ACADEMIC YEAR-2018-2019 CONSOLIDATED ALUMNI FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Alumni
1	Encourage the students to strengthen their technical skills as well as personality

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Standard: ISO 9001:2015



ACADEMIC YEAR-2018-2019

CONSOLIDATED EMPLOYERS FEEDBACK

SI.NO	Suggestion Given By Employers			
1	Ability to Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility			
2	Creative in response to workplace challenges			

Prepared By

HOD-IT

PRINCIPAL

Principal

Arunai Engineering College Tiruvannamalai - 606 603



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING



Faculty Evaluation by Student EVEN SEMESTER 2018-2019

II-YAER & IV Sem A-Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
1	MA8452	Statistics and Numerical Method	Mr.P.Vishnu Prakash	4.1
2	ME8492	Kinematics of Machinery	Mr.R.Sarath Chander	4.4
3	ME8451	Manufacturing Technology-II	Mr.S.Ramesh	4.6
4	ME8491	Engineering Metallurgy	Mr.R.Senthil	4.5
5	ME8395	Strength of Material for Mechanical Engineering	Mr.M.Saravana Muthu	4.6
6	ME8493	Thermal Engineering-II	Mr.P.Arulraj	4.3

II-YAER & IV Sem B-Sec

S.No	Sub.Code		Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
1	MA8452	Statistics and Numerical Method	Mr.N.Mahesh Kumar	4.2
2	ME8492	Kinematics of Machinery	Mr.S.Rajesh Kumar	4.4
3	ME8451	Manufacturing Technology-II	Mr.M.Saravana Muthu	4.5
4		Engineering Metallurgy	Mr.N.Manikandan	4.7
5	ME8395	Strength of Material for Mechanical Engineering	Mr.S.Selvarasu	4.4
6		Thermal Engineering-II	Mr.E.Prakash	4.5

III-YAER VI Sem- A Sec

S.No	Sub.Code		Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
1 -	ME6601	Design of Transmission System	Mr.R.Senthil	4.4
2	ME6602	Automobile Engineering	Mr.E.Prakash	4.5
3	ME6603	Finite Element Analysis	Mr.V.Parthipan	4.2
4	ME6604	Gas Dynamics and Jet Propulsion	Mr.S.Jayakumar	4.6
5	ME6004	Unconventional Machining Processes	Mr.R.Subash Karan	4.5
6	MG6851	Principle of Management	Mr.S.Thirumal Valavan	4.59

III-YAER VI Sem- B Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	I n.:
1	ME6601	Design of Transmission System		Rating Of Teacher
-			Mr.S.Thirumal Valavan	4.5
2		Automobile Engineering	Mr.R.Sarath Chandar	4.4
3	ME6603	Finite Element Analysis	Mr.S.Venkatesan	
4	ME6604	Gas Dynamics and Jet Propulsion		4.6
5	ME6004	Unconventional Machining Processes	Mr.P.Ragunath	4.7
6	MG6951	Dringing - CM	Mr.S.Sivakumar	4.1
0	14100931	Principle of Management	Mr.R.Mahendiran	4.2

IV-YAER VIII Sem- A Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name		
	MG6863	Engineering Economics	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher
		Entrepreneurship Development	Mr.P.Ragunath	4.6
-2	ME6019	Non Destructive Testing and Materials	Mr.S.Selvarasu	4.5
-3-	11120013	Non Destructive Testing and Materials	Mr.V.Parthiban	4.3

IV-YAER VIII Sem- B Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher		
1	MG6863	Engineering Economics	Mr.R.Mahendiran	4.4		
2	MG6071	Entrepreneurship Development	Mr.S.Sivakumar	4.7		
3	ME6019	Non Destructive Testing and Materials	Dr.L.Jayakumar	4.6		

IV-YAER VIII Sem- C Sec

S.No	Sub.Code	Subject Name	Faculty Name	Rating Of Teacher		
1	MG6863	Engineering Economics	Mr.S.Ramesh	4.2		
2	MG6071	Entrepreneurship Development	Mr.S.Venkatesan	4.4		
3	ME6019	Non Destructive Testing and Materials	Mr.S.Rajesh Kumar	4.5		

POT MECH W



QUALITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION FORM FOR TEACHING EVALUATION BY FACULTY Standard: ISO 9001:2015



Format: F-FDB-06

Version: 01

Teaching Faculty Feedback Analysis Department of Mechanical Engineering

Academic Year :2018-2019

 $A cademic\ performance\ measured\ through\ teaching-learning\ process\ ,\ curriculum\ plan\ and\ implementation\ ,\ ambience\ of\ the\ institution,\ governance$

S.NO	Details						
1	Aims and objectives of the syllabus are well defined and clear.						
2	The course/syllabus of this course increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area.						
3	The curriculum is sufficient to cover the programme / course outcomes.	4.2					
4	The timely coverage of the syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.	4.4					
5	I have the freedom to adopt new techniques/education tools /strategies in teaching	4.4					
6	Good facility and encouragement are available	4.3					
7	The library is well equipped	4.8					
8	The infrastructure available in the college/ department is excellent and efficiently used	4.2					
9	Classroom Facilities are adequately good (LCD/Audio/Computer/Seating Arrangement etc)	4.5					
10	Rest rooms, toilets, laboratory, playground, classrooms are clean and well maintained.	4.8					
11	Authorities are approachable and accessible	4.3					
12	The work distribution is fair and work load is reasonable in the department	4.6					
13	There is a recognition/ appraisal system / Incentive/ Appreciation of the individual work.	4.0					

Suggestions for improvement: Wi-Fi facility is required at department block.

HOD/MECH



ARUNAI ENGINEERING COLLEGE TIRUVANNAMALAI DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

ALUMINI SURVEY -CONSOLIDATED BATCH-14-18



	ц												SL.No		
	2014-2018												YEAR STREN		
	200												STRENGTH		
AVERAGE	Interaction with Administration	Extra-Curricular Activities	Recreational Facilities	Transport Facilities	Hostel Facilities	Student-Staff Relationship	How about Ad-on Courses	Discipline Standards	Counseling Activity	Library Facility	Commitment of Faculty	Students Personality Development	Course Delivery	Infrastructure	Description
1797	140	135	120	126	125	140	120	115	139	130	120	129	128	130	EXCELLENT(5)
577	35	30	40	52	43	30	42	50	43	43	45	39	45	40	VERY GOOD (4)
348	25	25	30	21	20	25	23	25	18	25	28	28	25	30	Good (3)
78		10	10	ъ	12	5	15	10		2	7	4	2		Satisfactory (2)
0															Poor (1)
2.68	2.75	2.67	2.61	2.71	2.64	2.72	2.60	2.61	2.76	2.70	2.63	2.68	2.70	2.70	Rating
LEVEL 3 ATTAINED	LEVEL 3 ATTAINED										Remarks				

DEPARTMENT OF A CHANG COLLEGE