NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION

NBCC Place, East Tower, 4th Floor, Bhisham Pitamah Marg,
Pragati Vihar, New Delhi-110 003
Tel: +91 11 2436 0620, 2436 0654 Telefax: +91 11 2436 0682

NATIONAL BOARD of ACCREDITATION

F.No.33-36-2010-NBA

Dated: 10.07.2014

To,

The Principal
Arunai Engineering College
Velu Nagar, Mathur,
Tiruvannamalai, 606 603
Tamil Nadu

Subject : Accreditation status of programs applied by Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu

Sir,

This has reference to your application No. 169 dated 24.04.2013 in **Tier II format** seeking accreditation of National Board of Accreditation for 3 UG engineering programs offered by your College.

2. An Expert Team conducted an on-site evaluation of the programs during 18th to 20th October, 2013. Reports of the expert team were considered by the concerned Committees constituted for the purpose in NBA. The competent authority has approved the following accreditation status to the programs.:

Sl. No.	Name of the Programme	Basis of Evaluation	Accreditation Status	Period of validity w.e.f. 01.07.2014	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
1.	UG-Biotechnology	Tier-II Document	Provisional Accreditation	2 years	Accreditation status granted is valid for the period indicated in col.5 or till the program has the approval of the competent authority, whichever is earlier.
2.	UG-Chemical Engineering	Tier-II Document	Provisional Accreditation	2 years	

3. The accreditation status awarded to the programs as indicated in the above table does not imply that the accreditation has been granted to Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu as a whole. As such the College should nowhere along with its name including on its letter head etc. write that it is accredited by NBA because it is program accreditation and not institution accreditation. If such an instance comes to NBA's notice, this will be viewed seriously. Complete name of the programs accredited, level of programs and the period of validity of accreditation, should be mentioned unambiguously whenever and wherever it is required to indicate the status of accreditation by NBA.

4. The accreditation status of the above programs is subject to change on periodic review, if needed by the NBA. It is desired that the relevant information in respect of accredited programs as indicated in the table in paragraph 2, appears on the website and information bulletin of the College.

5. The accreditation status awarded to the programs as indicated in table in paragraph 2 above is subject to maintenance of the current standards during the period of accreditation. If there are any changes in the status (major changes of faculty strength, organizational structure etc.), the same are required to be communicated to the NBA, with an appropriate explanatory note.

Contd.

6. Weaknesses/ deficiencies in respect of the above programs as observed by the expert team during the course of evaluation are indicated in the **Annexure** to this letter.

7. Copies of the Comprehensive Report submitted by the Chairman of the Expert Committee along with the detailed reports submitted by the Expert team which visited College for the programs evaluated is enclosed for reference and to take necessary action to overcome the shortcomings pointed out by the Expert Team.

8. If the College is not satisfied with the decision of NBA, it may appeal within thirty days of receipt of this communication giving reasons for the same and by paying the requisite fee.

Yours faithfully,

(Dr. Anil Kumar Nassa)
Member Secretary

Encls: Copies of Comprehensive Report of Chairman and Expert Report of the Visiting Team.

Copy to:

1. The Member Secretary,
   AICTE, Chanderlok Building,
   Janpath, New Delhi- 110 001

2. The Vice Chancellor
   Anna University, Guindy
   Chennai – 600 025

3. The Secretary,
   Department of Technical Education
   Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai - 600 009, Tamil Nadu

4. The Regional Officer
   All India Council for Technical Education
   Southern Regional Office, 26, Haddows Road,
   Shastri Bhawan, Chennai- 660 006

5. Accreditation File

6. Master Accreditation file of the State.

ANNEXURE

Name of the Program	Weakness/Deficiencies
UG - BIOTECNOLOGY	**Criterion II :** No well-defined process for defining the POs. Poor correlation between POs and PEOs. No proper justification for the extent to which the laboratory and project work contributing towards the attainment of POs. Mapping of COs with POs is poor. Content delivery methods and assessment tools need improvement. Results of the attainment of each PO not provided. **Criterion III:** Proper prerequisite flow chart of the courses not provided . No program specific criteria adopted. Less relevance of curriculum components with POs and PEOs. Processes used to identify the curricular gaps for the attainment of COs and POs not clearly mentioned. **Criteria V:** Poor research publications, R&D and consultancy work .
UG- CHEMICAL ENGINEERING	**Criterion I:** No well-defined process for defining vision and mission and PEOs. Lack of consistency between mission and PEOs. Insufficient evidences for the attainment of PEOs. No evidences for the attainment of PEOs. **Criterion II:** No well-defined process for defining the POs. Poor publishing and dissemination. Poor correlation between POs and PEOs. No proper justification for the extent to which the laboratory and project work contributing towards the attainment of POs. Mapping of COs with the POs is poor. Content delivery methods and assessment tools need improvement. Results of attainment of each PO not provided.